

# Regence

Medical Policy Manual

Surgery, Policy No. 230

## ***Devices for Treatment of Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia, Urethral Stricture, and Urethral Stenosis***

**Effective:** January 1, 2024

**Next Review:** March 2024

**Last Review:** December 2023

### **IMPORTANT REMINDER**

Medical Policies are developed to provide guidance for members and providers regarding coverage in accordance with contract terms. Benefit determinations are based in all cases on the applicable contract language. To the extent there may be any conflict between the Medical Policy and contract language, the contract language takes precedence.

PLEASE NOTE: Contracts exclude from coverage, among other things, services or procedures that are considered investigational or cosmetic. Providers may bill members for services or procedures that are considered investigational or cosmetic. Providers are encouraged to inform members before rendering such services that the members are likely to be financially responsible for the cost of these services.

### **DESCRIPTION**

Temporarily implanted nitinol devices (e.g., iTind) have been proposed as a minimally invasive alternative to transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) to treat symptomatic benign prostatic hyperplasia. The device is temporarily implanted into the obstructed prostatic urethra to facilitate tissue reshaping and improve urine outflow. The implant is typically removed after five to seven days.

Drug-coated balloon catheter systems (e.g., Optilume®) have been proposed as minimally invasive alternatives to TURP, endoscopic management, and urethroplasty to treat obstructive urinary tract symptoms associated with benign prostatic hyperplasia or urethral stricture. The devices utilize balloon catheters to dilate the urethra or prostate lobes and deliver paclitaxel indicated to prevent future obstructive urinary symptoms.

### **MEDICAL POLICY CRITERIA**

- I. The use of a temporarily implanted nitinol device (e.g., iTind) is considered **investigational** for all indications, including treatment of lower urinary tract symptoms due to benign prostatic hyperplasia.

- II. The use of a drug-coated balloon catheter system (e.g., Optilume® BPH Catheter System) is considered **investigational** for all indications, including treatment of obstructive urinary symptoms associated with benign prostatic hyperplasia.
- III. The use of a drug-coated balloon catheter system (e.g., Optilume® Urethral Drug Coated Balloon) is considered **investigational** for all indications, including treatment of obstructive urinary symptoms associated with anterior urethral stricture.

*NOTE: A summary of the supporting rationale for the policy criteria is at the end of the policy.*

## CROSS REFERENCES

1. [Transurethral Water Vapor Thermal Therapy and Transurethral Water Jet Ablation \(Aquablation\) of the Prostate](#), Surgery Policy No. 210

## BACKGROUND

### BENIGN PROSTATIC HYPERPLASIA

Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) is a common disorder among older individuals that results from hyperplastic nodules in the periurethral or transitional zone of the prostate. The clinical manifestations of BPH include increased urinary frequency, nocturia, urgency or hesitancy to urinate, and a weak stream when urinating. The urinary tract symptoms often progress with worsening hypertrophy and may lead to acute urinary retention, incontinence, renal insufficiency, and/or urinary tract infection. BPH prevalence increases with age and is present in more than 80% of individuals age 70 to 79 years.<sup>[1]</sup>

Two scores are widely used to evaluate BPH-related symptoms: the American Urological Association Symptom Index (AUASI) and the International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS). The AUASI is a self-administered seven-item questionnaire assessing the severity of various urinary symptoms.<sup>[2]</sup> Total AUASI scores range from 0 to 35, with overall severity categorized as mild ( $\leq 7$ ), moderate (8-19), or severe (20-35). The IPSS incorporates questions from the AUASI and a quality of life question or a "Bother score."<sup>[3]</sup>

Benign prostatic hyperplasia does not necessarily require treatment. The decision on whether to treat BPH is based on an assessment of the impact of symptoms on quality of life along with the potential side effects of treatment. For patients with moderate-to-severe symptoms (e.g., an AUASI score of  $\geq 8$ ), bothersome symptoms, or both, a discussion about medical therapy is reasonable. Benign prostatic hyperplasia should generally be treated medically first. Available medical therapies for BPH-related lower urinary tract dysfunction include  $\alpha$ -adrenergic blockers (e.g., alfuzosin, doxazosin, tamsulosin, terazosin, silodosin),  $5\alpha$ -reductase inhibitors (e.g., finasteride, dutasteride), combination  $\alpha$ -adrenergic blockers and  $5\alpha$ -reductase inhibitors, anti-muscarinic agents (e.g., darifenacin, solifenacin, oxybutynin), and phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors (e.g., tadalafil).<sup>[1]</sup> In a meta-analysis of both indirect comparisons from placebo-controlled studies (n=6333) and direct comparative studies (n=507), Djavan (1999) found that the IPSS improved by 30% to 40% and the Qmax score (mean peak urinary flow rate) improved by 16% to 25% in individuals assigned to  $\alpha$ -adrenergic blockers.<sup>[4]</sup> Combination therapy using an  $\alpha$ -adrenergic blocker and  $5\alpha$ -reductase inhibitor has been shown to be more effective for improving IPSS than either treatment alone, with median scores improving by more than 40% over one year and by more than 45% over four years.

Patients who do not have sufficient response to medical therapy, or who are experiencing significant side effects with medical therapy, may be referred for surgical or ablative therapies. The American Urological Association (AUA) recommends surgical intervention for patients who have "renal insufficiency secondary to BPH, refractory urinary retention secondary to BPH, recurrent urinary tract infections (UTIs), recurrent bladder stones or gross hematuria due to BPH, and/or with lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) attributed to BPH refractory to and/or unwilling to use other therapies."<sup>[5]</sup> Transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) is generally considered the reference standard for comparisons of BPH procedures.<sup>[6]</sup> In the perioperative period, TURP is associated with risks of any operative procedure (e.g., anesthesia risks, blood loss). Although short-term mortality risks are generally low, a large prospective study with 10,654 patients by Reich (2008) reported the following short-term complications: "failure to void (5.8%), surgical revision (5.6%), significant urinary tract infection (3.6%), bleeding requiring transfusions (2.9%), and transurethral resection syndrome (1.4%)."<sup>[7]</sup> Incidental carcinoma of the prostate was diagnosed by histologic examination in 9.8% of patients. In the longer term, TURP is associated with an increased risk of sexual dysfunction and incontinence.

The use of the iTind temporarily implanted nitinol device has been investigated as a minimally invasive treatment for lower urinary tract symptoms associated with BPH. With the use of a rigid cystoscope, the device is temporarily implanted into the obstructed prostatic urethra where three double intertwined nitinol struts configured in a tulip shape gradually expand.<sup>[8]</sup> The resulting circumferential force facilitates tissue reshaping via ischemic necrosis of the mucosa, resulting in urethral expansion and prostatic incisions that function as longitudinal channels to improve urine outflow.<sup>[9]</sup> The implant is typically removed after five to seven days of treatment. A distal nylon wire facilitates device retrieval which may be approached using a snare to pull the device into either a cystoscope sheath or an open-ended silicone catheter (20-22 French units [Fr]).<sup>[10]</sup> The first-generation TIND device had one extra strut and a pointed tip covered by a soft plastic material.

The Optilume® BPH Catheter System is a drug and device combination that consists of two catheters: a non-drug coated catheter for pre-dilation (Optilume® BPH Prostatic Pre-dilation Catheter) and a paclitaxel coated catheter (Optilume® BPH Prostatic Dilation Drug Coated Balloon Catheter).<sup>[11]</sup> The Pre-dilation Catheter is used to initiate a commissurotomy between the lateral lobes of the prostate. The Drug Coated Balloon Catheter further dilates and completes the commissurotomy then transfers paclitaxel to the pre-dilated prostatic urethra and anterior commissure. The increase in cross-sectional area of the prostatic urethra from the anterior commissurotomy permits increased urine flow. Transfer of the paclitaxel from the balloon surface to the dilated area inhibits cell proliferation and maintains urethral patency.

## **URETHRAL STRICTURE AND STENOSIS**

Urethral stricture is the chronic fibrosis and narrowing of the urethral lumen caused by acute injury, inflammatory conditions, and interventions including urethral instrumentation, surgery, and prostate cancer treatment.<sup>[12]</sup> Urethral stricture is the preferred term for abnormal narrowing of the anterior urethra, and narrowing of the posterior urethra is referred to as stenosis. Urethral stricture symptoms are often non-specific and overlap with other common conditions including LUTS and UTI. Patients with urethral stricture most often present with decreased urinary stream and incomplete bladder emptying but may also have UTI, epididymitis, rising post-void residual, decreased ejaculation force, urinary spraying, or dysuria. In high income countries, the most common cause of urethral stricture is idiopathic (41%)

followed by medical treatments (35%). In low- and middle-income countries, trauma is the most common cause of urethral stricture (36%).

Initial management of urethral stricture includes assessing patient medical history, physical examination, and urinalysis.<sup>[12]</sup> A combination of patient reported measures, uroflowmetry, and ultrasound post-void residual assessment are recommended for initial evaluation of suspected urethral stricture. Urethro-cystoscopy, retrograde urethrography, voiding cystourethrography, or ultrasound urethrography are recommended for diagnosis of urethral stricture. For urgent management of urethral stricture, urethral endoscopic management (e.g., urethral dilation, direct visual internal urethrotomy [DVIU]) or immediate suprapubic cystostomy are recommended. For non-urgent strictures, the length and location of the stricture should be determined to guide treatment. For initial treatment of short (less than two centimeters [cm]) bulbar urethral strictures, urethral dilation, DVIU, or urethroplasty are recommended.

Urethroplasty, instead of repeated endoscopic management, is recommended for management of recurrent anterior urethral strictures following failed dilation or DVIU. Urethral dilation and DVIU are also recommended for recurrent bulbar urethral strictures that are less than three cm in length. Urethral dilation and DVIU have similar long-term outcomes, with success ranging from 35-70% for short strictures. These endoscopic treatments have high success rates for strictures less than one cm but very low success rates for strictures over two cm. Urethroplasty has a higher long-term success rate than endoscopic treatment (80-95%), but American Urological Association (AUA) guidelines recommend weighing success against the increased anesthesia requirement and higher morbidity of urethroplasty.

The Optilume® Urethral Drug Coated Balloon is a 0.97 mm over-the-wire guidewire compatible catheter with a dual lumen design and a tapered, atraumatic tip.<sup>[13]</sup> The Optilume® Drug Coated Balloon is used to exert radial force to dilate narrow urethral strictures. Using a guidewire, the catheter is inserted into the area of the urethra that has a stricture, and the balloon is inflated to mechanically dilate the urethra and improve urine flow. During balloon inflation, paclitaxel is transferred from the balloon to the urethra to prevent stricture recurrence.

## **REGULATORY STATUS**

In April 2019, the iTind System (Olympus; previously, Medi-Tate Ltd., Hadera, Israel) was granted a de novo 510(k) classification by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (DEN190020; product code: QKA).<sup>[14]</sup> The new classification applies to this device and substantially equivalent devices of this generic type (e.g., K210138). The iTind System is intended for the treatment of symptoms due to urinary outflow obstruction secondary to benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) in men age 50 years and older.

In September 2021, the Optilume® Urethral Drug Coated Balloon (Urotronic, Inc.) received premarket approval from the U.S. FDA (P210020, product code: QRH).<sup>[13]</sup> The Optilume® Urethral Drug Coated Balloon is indicated for the treatment of obstructive urinary symptoms associated with anterior urethral stricture in adult males with urethral stricture less than or equal to three cm in length.

In June 2023, the Optilume® BPH Catheter System (Urotronic, Inc.) received premarket approval by the U.S. FDA (P220029; product code: QXB).<sup>[11]</sup> The Optilume® BPH Catheter System is indicated for the treatment of obstructive urinary symptoms associated with BPH in males age 50 years and older.

## EVIDENCE SUMMARY

### TEMPORARILY IMPLANTED NITINOL DEVICE

#### Clinical Context and Therapy Purpose

The purpose of temporarily implanted nitinol devices in patients who have lower urinary tract symptoms due to BPH is to provide a treatment option that is an alternative to or an improvement on existing therapies such as medical management, transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP), or prostatic urethral lift (PUL).

Both short-term (up to 12 months) and long-term (12 months and longer) outcomes should be assessed. Treatment-related morbidity can also be assessed in the immediate post-procedure period.

Some validated patient-reported scales are summarized in Table 1.

**Table 1. Patient-Reported Health Outcome Measures Relevant to Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia**

Measure	Outcome Evaluated	Description	Clinically Meaningful Difference (If Known)
Male Sexual Health Questionnaire for Ejaculatory Dysfunction (MSHQ-EjD) <sup>[15]</sup>	Ejaculatory function and quality of life	Patient-administered, 4-item scale. Symptoms rated as absent (15) to severe (0). QOL assessed as no problem (0) to extremely bothered (5).	NR
Sexual Health Inventory for Men (SHIM) <sup>[16]</sup>	Erectile function	Patient-administered, 5-item scale. Erectile dysfunction rated as severe (1-7), moderate (8-11), mild to moderate (12-16), or mild (17-21). Fewest symptoms present for patients with scores 22-25.	5-point change <sup>[17]</sup>
American Urological Association Symptom Index (AUASI); International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS) <sup>[1, 3, 18]</sup>	Severity of lower urinary tract symptoms	Patient-administered, 7-item scale. Symptoms rated as mild (0-7), moderate (8-19), or severe (20-35).  IPSS asks an additional question, rating QOL as delighted (0) to terrible (6).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Minimum of 3-point change<sup>[1, 18]</sup></li> <li>• Minimum of 30% change<sup>[19]</sup></li> </ul>
Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia Impact Index (BII) <sup>[2]</sup>	Effect of urinary symptoms on health domains	Patient-administered, 4-item scale. Symptoms rated as absent (0) to severe (13).	Minimum of 0.4-point change <sup>[18]</sup>

QOL: quality of life; NR: not reported.

## Study Selection Criteria

Methodologically credible studies were selected using the following principles:

- To assess efficacy outcomes, comparative controlled prospective trials were sought, with a preference for RCTs;
- In the absence of such trials, comparative observational studies were sought, with a preference for prospective studies.
- To assess long-term outcomes and adverse events, single-arm studies that capture longer periods of follow-up and/or larger populations were sought.
- Consistent with a 'best available evidence approach,' within each category of study design, studies with larger sample sizes and longer durations were sought.
- Studies with duplicative or overlapping populations were excluded.
- Studies concerning older versions of the technology that are no longer commercially marketed were excluded, including Bertolo (2015)<sup>[20]</sup> and Porpiglia (2018).<sup>[21]</sup>

## Review of Evidence

### Systematic Reviews

In 2021, Franco published a Cochrane network meta-analysis assessing the comparative effectiveness of minimally invasive treatments for lower urinary tract symptoms in men with BPH.<sup>[22]</sup> Twenty-seven trials representing 3017 men were included through February 2021. Compared to TURP at short-term follow-up, temporary implantable nitinol devices (TIND) may result in worse urologic symptoms scores (mean difference [MD] of IPSS score, 7.5; 95% CI, 0.68 to 15.69; low-certainty evidence) and little to no difference in quality-of-life scores (MD, 0.87; 95% CI, -1.04 to 2.79; low-certainty evidence).

### Randomized Controlled Trials

Chughtai (2021) published the results of a multicenter, single-blinded RCT of the iTind implant compared to sham for the treatment of lower urinary tract symptoms secondary to benign prostatic hyperplasia.<sup>[23]</sup> Fifty-seven participants received sham treatment, and out of 128 participants randomized to receive iTind, 10 did not undergo the procedure. The primary endpoint was the response rate, defined as the percentage of patients achieving a reduction of at least three points on the IPSS scale at three months. Patients were unblinded to their treatment after the 3-month follow-up visit. Mean patient age was 61.1 years and baseline characteristics were similar between groups, except for a higher Charlson Comorbidity Index score among iTind recipients (2.52 vs. 1.26;  $p < 0.001$ ). While a significantly higher proportion of patients treated with iTind achieved the primary endpoint compared to sham at three months (78.6% vs. 60%;  $p = .029$ ), changes in overall IPSS, IPSS QoL, Qmax, SHIM, and International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF) scores were not statistically different between groups. Patients treated with iTind were followed through 12 months. Of 78 iTind subjects in the per-protocol population, a mean reduction of 9.25 points on the IPSS was found at 12 months, suggesting durability of treatment. A total of 16 serious adverse events among 10 subjects was reported within 0-30 days in the iTind group compared to two events in two subjects in the sham group. In the iTind group, a total of five serious adverse events were classified as device- or

procedure-related, including urinary retention (n=2), urinary tract infection (n=2) and sepsis (n=1). Six individuals (4.7%) had an alternative BPH surgery during 12-month follow-up due to deterioration of symptoms. An additional six participants (4.7%) resumed medication for symptomatic BPH. An RCT comparing the iTind device to the UroLift prostatic urethral lift (PUL) procedure is ongoing (NCT04757116).

Using questionnaire data from the Chughtai (2021)<sup>[23]</sup> study, Elterman (2023)<sup>[24]</sup> reported the effect of iTind on sexual function. Patient-reported sexual health data from subjects who completed study visits at 3 and 12 months, and who were not taking medication to treat BPH were included. Using primarily SHIM scores, the study found no evidence of decrease in sexual function due to iTind at 12 months. Limitations of the study include that the total number of study subjects that provided data and the n-values of the subgroups (stratified by age, prostate volume, and questionnaire scores) were not specified. Another major limitation was that the 12-month comparison was between baseline and 12-month scores within the iTind treatment arm, with no comparison between the iTind treatment and the sham treatment at 12-months.

### Single-Arm Studies

#### *MT-02 Cohort*

81 subjects with lower urinary tract symptoms due to BPH were implanted with the second-generation iTind device and followed for up to three years.<sup>[25-27]</sup> Mean (SD) patient age was 65 (8.9) years with mean prostate volume 40.5 (12.25) milliliter (mL), Qmax 7.3 (2.6) mL/s, and IPSS score 22.5 (5.6). Devices were retrieved at a mean of 5.9 (1.1) days after implantation and no intraoperative complications were reported. At the 6-month and 12-month visits, 85.2% and 88.9% of treated patients reported a 3-point or greater improvement in IPSS, respectively. Compared to baseline, none of the 61 sexually active participants who completed a 12-month, two-item questionnaire reported sexual or ejaculatory dysfunction. Statistically significant improvements in total IPSS, Qmax, IPSS QoL, and post-void residual (PVR) volume were observed through 36 months. Clavien-Dindo grade I, II, and IIIa treatment-related adverse events were reported in 33 (41%), 5 (6.2%), and 8 (9.9%) patients within the first month post-treatment, respectively. Most common adverse events were hematuria (12.3%), urinary urgency (11.1%), acute urinary retention (9.9%), and pain (9.9%). No further adverse events were reported during long-term follow-up. From baseline through 36 months, 12 (14.8%) patients were considered treatment failures, of which seven were later found to have obstructive median lobes ( $p < .0001$ ). Subsequent drug therapy was required in five (6.2%) patients and eight (8.6%) underwent surgical retreatment via TURP or laser. Sexually active patients who completed a two-item questionnaire reported no sexual or ejaculatory dysfunction through three years.

#### *MT-06 Cohort*

De Nunzio (2021) reported six-month interim outcomes for 70 subjects with lower urinary tract symptoms due to BPH seeking to preserve ejaculatory function who were implanted with the second-generation iTind device.<sup>[28]</sup> Mean patient age was 62.3 years with mean prostate volume 37.68 mL, Qmax 7.3, and IPSS urinary symptoms score 21.2. At six months, statistically significant improvements were seen in IPSS urinary symptoms, IPSS QoL, Qmax, and MSHQ-EjD. No significant changes in PVR volume, SHIM total score, or ISI total score were reported. Clavien-Dindo grade I, IIIa, and IIIb treatment-related adverse events were reported in 53 (75.7%), 3 (4.3%), and 1 (1.4%) patient(s), respectively. The most common

adverse events were transient hematuria (18.6%), dysuria (17%), urinary urgency (12.8%), and pain (11.4%). Follow-up is planned for three years.

### **Section Summary: Temporarily Implanted Nitinol Device**

The prospective, international, multicenter, single-arm MT-02 prospective study of the iTind device has reported statistically significant improvements in total IPSS score, IPSS QoL score, Qmax, and PVR volume through three years. The subsequent single-arm MT-06 study enrolling men desiring to preserve ejaculatory function reported no significant change in the SHIM total score and a statistically significant improvement on the MSHQ-EjD questionnaire at six months. One RCT comparing the iTind device to sham treatment reported an improvement of at least three points on the IPSS scale at three months in 78.6% versus 60% of participants, respectively ( $p=.029$ ). However, changes in overall IPSS, IPSS QoL, Qmax, SHIM, and IIEF scores were not significantly different between groups. Major limitations of the RCT include high loss to follow-up (~30% in each treatment arm) and short duration of follow-up. A follow-up study reported no evidence of decrease in sexual function at 12 months due to iTind, but evidence was limited by lack of comparison between the treatment arms. One network meta-analysis compared the safety and efficacy of various minimally-invasive treatments for lower urinary tract symptoms associated with BPH, finding that iTind may result in worse urologic symptoms scores compared to TURP at short-term follow-up. No studies have directly compared iTind to established alternatives. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

### **OPTILUME® BPH CATHETER SYSTEM**

#### **Clinical Context and Therapy Purpose**

Use of a drug-coated balloon catheter system in patients who have obstructive urinary symptoms associated with BPH is to provide a treatment alternative to, or an improvement on, existing therapies such as pharmacological therapies, prostate ablation (e.g., laser, vapor), PUL, prostatectomy, or TURP.

Both short-term (up to 12 months) and long-term (12 months and longer) outcomes should be assessed. Treatment-related morbidity can also be assessed in the immediate post-procedure period. Some validated patient-reported scales are summarized in Table 1.

#### Randomized Controlled Trials

Kaplan (2023) published results of the PINNACLE double-blind, sham-controlled, multi-center RCT that assessed the Optilume® BPH Catheter System. 148 male participants 50 years or older, with symptomatic BPH and a prostate size between 20 and 80 grams, were randomized to receive the active treatment ( $n=100$ ) or sham treatment ( $n=48$ ). Sham treatment consisted of rigid cystoscopy followed by insertion of a sheathed (21F) Optilume® BPH Predilation Catheter that was not inflated. The timing, analgesia, and anesthesia protocols were the same in active and sham treatment groups. Participants and evaluators were blinded through one-year follow-up. Average improvement in IPSS from baseline to one year was significantly greater with active treatment ( $11.5\pm 7.8$ ) than sham treatment at three months ( $8.0\pm 8.3$ ), with an estimated difference of 3.4 between groups (95% CI, 0.6 to 6.2;  $p=0.008$ ). However, this result was not significant when a 25% super-superiority margin was used ( $p=0.18$ ). IPSS improved on average by 49% from baseline to one year in the active treatment group (95% CI, 42.7% to 55.4%), which met the prespecified performance goal of 30% ( $p<0.001$ ). Significantly



more participants experienced at least a 30% improvement in IPSS at one year when compared to the sham group at three months (76.6% [66 of 96] versus 52.1% [25 of 48],  $p=0.003$ ). The change in Qmax from baseline also significantly favored Optilume® BPH at 12 months over sham at three months ( $+9.7\pm 10.1$  versus  $+5.5\pm 7.4$  mL/s,  $p=0.009$ ). Five serious treatment-related events occurred. Four post-procedural hematuria events that required cystoscopic management or extended observation, which resolved without sequelae, occurred. One event of urethral false passage required extended catheterization. Common nonserious adverse events that occurred in the Optilume® BPH arm, regardless of relatedness, included hematuria (40% [39 of 98]), urinary tract infection (14% [14 of 98]), dysuria (9.2% [9 of 98]), urge/mixed incontinence (8.2% [8 of 98]), mild stress incontinence (7.1% [7 of 98]), bladder spasms (6.1% [6 of 98]), elevated PSA (6.1% [6 of 98]), and urinary urgency (6.1% [6 of 98]). This study is limited by different follow-up times for the Optilume BPH and sham treatment groups and eligibility criteria were limited to men with prostates below 80 grams.

### Single-Arm Studies

Kaplan (2021) published one-year outcomes of the EVEREST-I single-arm study that evaluated the safety and efficacy of the Optilume® BPH Catheter System. Participants were greater than 50 years old with moderate to severe LUTS secondary to BPH, peak urinary flow rate of 5 to 15 mL/s, prostatic urethra length 30 to 55 millimeters, and prostate volume 20 to 80 grams ( $n=80$ ). After treatment, participants were followed up at time of Foley catheter removal, two weeks, 30 days, and 3, 6, and 12 months after treatment. The primary endpoint was the proportion of subjects with greater than or equal to 40% improvement in IPSS. 75 participants completed the one-year follow-up. At three months and one year, 81% of participants experienced greater than or equal to 40% improvement in IPSS from baseline (90% CI, 72.6 to 88.1). Mean IPSS was 22.3 at baseline and 7.9 at one year. Qmax improved from 10.9 to 18.4 mL/s, and IPSS-measured quality of life improved from 4.6 to 1.3 at one-year follow-up. 113 adverse events were reported. The most frequent treatment-related adverse events were post-procedural hematuria (15.0%), postoperative urinary retention (13.8%), urinary incontinence (13.8%), urinary tract infection (8.8%), ejaculation disorder (8.8%), and dysuria (7.5%). Most postoperative urinary retention events were caused by clots blocking the Foley catheter outlet, and greater than 90% (11 of 12) events resolved within one week. Interim data analysis revealed a worse safety profile with use of the large diameter balloon catheter, including higher rates of bleeding and incontinence. As a result, this device size option was removed for the last 31 participants treated in the study. This study is limited by lack of a control group, and longer-term follow-up is necessary to determine treatment durability.

### **Section Summary: Optilume® BPH Catheter System**

Data from one RCT and one single-arm study suggest that the Optilume® BPH Catheter System may improve peak urinary flow rate and symptoms associated with benign prostatic hyperplasia, but symptom scores did not reach statistical significance in the RCT. There are multiple limitations of the data including lack of control group in one study, concerns about serious adverse events (hematuria was most common), and the treatment may not be generalizable for prostates above 80g. Long-term follow-up is also needed to determine durability of this treatment. No studies have directly compared the Optilume® BPH Catheter System to established treatments. There is also a lack of data on paclitaxel in tissues at long-term follow-up. There is not yet enough evidence that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

## OPTILUME® DRUG COATED URETHRAL DILATION CATHETER

### Clinical Context and Therapy Purpose

Use of a drug-coated urethral dilation catheter in male patients who have obstructive urinary symptoms associated with anterior urethral stricture is to provide a treatment alternative to, or an improvement on, existing therapies such as urethral dilation with an uncoated balloon catheter, endoscopic management or urethroplasty. Relevant outcomes include patient-reported measures such as the AUASI and IPSS to assess symptoms, uroflowmetry to determine severity of obstruction, and evaluation of stricture diameter with urethroscopy, retrograde urethrography, or ultrasound urethrography.

### Randomized Controlled Trials

Elliot (2022) published one-year outcomes from the ROBUST III multi-center, single-blind trial that evaluated the safety and efficacy of the Optilume® DCB for treatment of recurrent anterior urethral strictures.<sup>[29]</sup> Participants were adult males with anterior urethral strictures less than or equal to 12Fr in diameter and less than or equal to three cm long, who had at least two prior endoscopic treatments, IPSS score greater than or equal to 11, and maximum urine flow rate less than 15 mL per second. The primary efficacy endpoint was anatomical success, defined as diameter greater than or equal to 14Fr determined by urethral cystoscopy or calibration at six months. 127 participants were randomized 2:1 to treatment and control groups and were blinded to treatment through six months. Endoscopic control treatments were the standard of care at each site and included treatment with an uncoated balloon catheter, direct visual internal urethrotomy (DVIU), serial dilation with urethral sounds, or a combination of these treatments. Post-procedure follow-up occurred at Foley catheter removal (two to five days in both groups), 30 days, three months, six months, and one year. At six months, anatomical success was 75.6% in the DCB group and 26.8% in the control group, with an estimated difference of 44.4% ( $p < 0.0001$ ). Freedom from repeat intervention through one year was significantly higher for the DCB group than the control group (83.2% versus 21.7%,  $p < 0.0001$ ). From baseline to 30 days, both groups experienced a significant increase in Qmax, IPSS, and IPSS quality of life scores. However, the control group experienced deterioration in all of these categories by one year while the significant improvements remained at one year in the DCB group. Adverse event types and rates were similar between groups, except that the DCB group had higher rates of post-procedure mild hematuria and dysuria (11.4% versus 2.1% for both event types). A limitation of this study is that Optilume DCB was compared to dilation and DVIU, so it is unknown how this treatment compares to urethroplasty, which is considered standard of care for urethral stricture. The authors also acknowledged that these early positive results could be impacted by surgeons dilating the urethra with Optilume, but in this study the immediate post-treatment diameter was similar between treatment groups.

### Single-Arm Studies

DeLong (2022) published interim, one-year results from the ROBUST II study that investigated the safety and efficacy of a paclitaxel-coated balloon for treatment of recurrent urethral strictures. The study included 16 adult males with a single anterior urethral stricture less than or equal to three cm in length, and who had at least two prior stricture treatments.<sup>[30]</sup> The primary safety endpoint was the rate of treatment-related serious complications 90 days after treatment. Efficacy outcomes were symptomatic assessments (IPSS), erectile function (IIEF), Qmax, and anatomic success defined by the ability to pass a 16F flexible cystoscope through the treatment site. Anatomic success was achieved for 73% of participants at six months.

Average IPSS improved from 18.4 to 6.0 at one year ( $p < 0.001$ ). Qmax improved from 6.9 mL/s to 20.8 mL/s ( $p < 0.001$ ). There was no change in IIEF. Four participants received additional treatment for urethral stricture within one year. No treatment-related serious complications occurred. This study is limited by small sample size and lack of a comparison group.

Virasoro (2020) published interim, one-year results from the ROBUST I study that enrolled 53 males with bulbar urethral strictures less than or equal to two cm with one to four prior endoscopic treatments.<sup>[31]</sup> All participants were treated with mechanical balloon dilation or DVIU prior to drug-coated balloon treatment. 46 participants completed the 12 month follow up. The preliminary efficacy endpoint was anatomic success, defined by urethral lumen greater than or equal to 14Fr at 12 months. The primary safety endpoint was serious complications through 90 days. Anatomic success was achieved in 32 of 46 participants (70%; 95% CI 54 to 82%) at 12 months. The 14 failures included seven cystoscopic recurrences, five retreatments, and two patients who exited the study early due to symptom recurrence. There were no serious adverse events related to the treatment within 90 days and no serious adverse events related to the procedure at 12 months. Follow-up is planned through five years post-treatment for the ROBUST I study, and published two- and three-year outcomes are discussed below.

Mann (2021) published two-year outcomes of the ROBUST I study in which 46 participants completed the 24-month follow-up.<sup>[32]</sup> The primary efficacy endpoint was greater than or equal to 50% improvement in IPSS at 24 months, and the primary safety endpoint was serious urinary adverse events. 43% of participants had undergone at least one or more previous urethral dilation procedure. The primary endpoint was achieved in 32 of 46 participants (70%), and baseline IPSS improved from a mean of 25.2 to 6.9 at 24 months ( $p < 0.0001$ ). There were no treatment-related serious adverse events at 24 months. There were 71 mild-to-moderate adverse events, most frequently: urinary tract infection (17%), fever (8%), dysuria (7%), acute urinary retention (6%), and headache (6%).

Virasoro (2022) published three-year outcomes of ROBUST I which included results for 33 participants who completed the three-year follow-up visit and 10 patients who experienced clinical failures at previous visits ( $n=43$ ).<sup>[33]</sup> The primary efficacy endpoint, greater than or equal to 50% reduction in IPSS, occurred in 29 of 43 participants (67%). Average IPSS improved from 25.2 at baseline to 5.5 at three years ( $p < 0.0001$ ). 33 of 43 participants (77%) did not require retreatment. Significant improvements were also observed in quality of life, urinary flow rate, and post-void residual urine volume. Similar to previous results at earlier follow-ups, device-related adverse events were mild or moderate and resolved quickly after onset. There were no serious treatment-related adverse events. The ROBUST I study is limited by lack of a comparator group and small sample size.

### **Section Summary: Optilume® Drug Coated Urethral Dilation Catheter**

Data from one RCT and two single-arm studies reported that the Optilume® Urethral Drug Coated Balloon significantly reduced stricture recurrence, increased urinary flow rate, and improved urinary symptom scores. The RCT reported significantly greater improvement with the drug-coated balloon than with endoscopic management. Drug-coated balloon treatment was more durable than endoscopic treatment at one year follow-up. Limitations of the RCT are that most participants had bulbar urethral strictures, so it is unknown whether the treatment is generalizable to all types of urethral strictures; hematuria was more common with the drug-coated balloon; and this treatment has not been compared to urethroplasty which is most successful for treating recurrent strictures. In these studies, long-term follow-up beyond one-

year occurred only in small single-arm studies. Further, additional long-term data on paclitaxel in tissues is needed to assess device safety. There is not yet enough evidence that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

## PRACTICE GUIDELINE SUMMARY

### AMERICAN UROLOGICAL ASSOCIATION

In 2021, the American Urological Association (AUA) published guidelines on the surgical evaluation and treatment of lower urinary tract symptoms attributed to benign prostatic hyperplasia.<sup>[5]</sup> These guidelines do not address the use of temporarily implanted nitinol devices or drug-coated balloon catheters.

In 2023, the AUA published an amendment to the 2016 guidelines for the treatment of urethral stricture disease.<sup>[12]</sup> These guidelines state, “Surgeons may offer urethral dilation or direct visual internal urethrotomy, combined with drug-coated balloons, for recurrent bulbar urethral strictures less than three cm in length (Conditional Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade B).”

## SUMMARY

### TEMPORARY IMPLANTED NITINOL DEVICES

There is not enough research to show that temporarily implanted nitinol devices (e.g., iTind) work better than established treatments to improve health outcomes for people with benign prostatic hyperplasia. No clinical guidelines based on research recommend temporarily implanted nitinol devices to treat benign prostatic hyperplasia. Therefore, temporarily placed nitinol devices (e.g., iTind) are considered investigational for all indications, including but not limited to treatment of symptoms due to benign prostatic hyperplasia.

### OPTILUME® BENIGN PROSTATIC HYPERPLASIA CATHETER SYSTEM

There is not enough research to show that drug-coated balloon catheters (e.g., Optilume® BPH) work better than established treatments to improve health outcomes for people with benign prostatic hyperplasia. No clinical guidelines based on research recommend drug-coated balloon catheter systems to treat benign prostatic hyperplasia. Therefore, drug-coated balloon catheter systems (e.g., Optilume® BPH) are considered investigational for all indications, including but not limited to treatment of symptoms due to benign prostatic hyperplasia.

### OPTILUME® URETHRAL DRUG COATED BALLOON

There is not enough research to show that drug-coated balloon catheters (e.g., Optilume® Urethral Drug Coated Balloon) work better than established treatments to improve health outcomes for people with urethral stricture or stenosis. The AUA recommends drug-coated balloons conditionally and only in combination with established endoscopic management of urethral strictures. Therefore, drug-coated balloon catheter systems (e.g., Optilume® Urethral Drug Coated Balloon) are considered investigational for all indications, including but not limited to treatment of symptoms due to urethral stricture or stenosis.

## REFERENCES

1. AV Sarma, JT Wei. Clinical practice. Benign prostatic hyperplasia and lower urinary tract symptoms. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;367(3):248-57. PMID: 22808960
2. MJ Barry, FJ Fowler, Jr., MP O'Leary, RC Bruskewitz, HL Holtgrewe, WK Mebust. Measuring disease-specific health status in men with benign prostatic hyperplasia. Measurement Committee of The American Urological Association. *Med Care.* 1995;33(4 Suppl):AS145-55. PMID: 7536866
3. P O'Leary M. Validity of the "bother score" in the evaluation and treatment of symptomatic benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Rev Urol.* 2005;7(1):1-10. PMID: 16985801
4. B Djavan, M Marberger. A meta-analysis on the efficacy and tolerability of alpha1-adrenoceptor antagonists in patients with lower urinary tract symptoms suggestive of benign prostatic obstruction. *Eur Urol.* 1999;36(1):1-13. PMID: 10364649
5. LB Lerner, KT McVary, MJ Barry, et al. Management of Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms Attributed to Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia: AUA GUIDELINE PART II-Surgical Evaluation and Treatment. *J Urol.* 2021;206(4):818-26. PMID: 34384236
6. HE Foster, MJ Barry, P Dahm, et al. Surgical Management of Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms Attributed to Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia: AUA Guideline. *J Urol.* 2018;200(3):612-19. PMID: 29775639
7. O Reich, C Gratzke, A Bachmann, et al. Morbidity, mortality and early outcome of transurethral resection of the prostate: a prospective multicenter evaluation of 10,654 patients. *J Urol.* 2008;180(1):246-9. PMID: 18499179
8. D Amparore, S De Cillis, G Volpi, et al. First- and Second-Generation Temporary Implantable Nitinol Devices As Minimally Invasive Treatments for BPH-Related LUTS: Systematic Review of the Literature. *Curr Urol Rep.* 2019;20(8):47. PMID: 31278441
9. C Fiori, S De Cillis, G Volpi, et al. iTIND for BPH: Technique and procedural outcomes: A narrative review of current literature. *Turk J Urol.* 2021;47(6):470-81. PMID: 35118965
10. D Balakrishnan, P Jones, BK Somani. iTIND: the second-generation temporary implantable nitinol device for minimally invasive treatment of benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Ther Adv Urol.* 2020;12:1756287220934355. PMID: 32655690
11. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Summary of Safety and Effectiveness Data. Drug Coated Prostatic Dilatation Catheter for Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia. June 30, 2023. [cited 11/13/2023]. Available from: [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh\\_docs/pdf22/P220029B.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf22/P220029B.pdf).
12. H Wessells, A Morey, L Souter, L Rahimi, A Vanni. Urethral Stricture Disease Guideline Amendment (2023). *J Urol.* 2023;210(1):64-71. PMID: 37096574
13. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Summary of Safety and Effectiveness Data. Optilume Urethral Drug Coated Balloon. June 30, 2023. [cited 11/10/2023]. Available from: [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh\\_docs/pdf21/P210020B.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf21/P210020B.pdf).
14. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) De Novo Classification. iTind System Temporarily-placed Urethral Opening System for Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia. April 20, 2019. [cited 11/16/2023]. Available from: [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh\\_docs/reviews/DEN190020.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/reviews/DEN190020.pdf).
15. RC Rosen, JA Catania, SE Althof, et al. Development and validation of four-item version of Male Sexual Health Questionnaire to assess ejaculatory dysfunction. *Urology.* 2007;69(5):805-9. PMID: 17482908

16. JC Cappelleri, RC Rosen. The Sexual Health Inventory for Men (SHIM): a 5-year review of research and clinical experience. *Int J Impot Res.* 2005;17(4):307-19. PMID: 15875061
17. J Sonksen, NJ Barber, MJ Speakman, et al. Prospective, randomized, multinational study of prostatic urethral lift versus transurethral resection of the prostate: 12-month results from the BPH6 study. *Eur Urol.* 2015;68(4):643-52. PMID: 25937539
18. MJ Barry, WO Williford, Y Chang, et al. Benign prostatic hyperplasia specific health status measures in clinical research: how much change in the American Urological Association symptom index and the benign prostatic hyperplasia impact index is perceptible to patients? *J Urol.* 1995;154(5):1770-4. PMID: 7563343
19. CG Roehrborn, TH Wilson, LK Black. Quantifying the contribution of symptom improvement to satisfaction of men with moderate to severe benign prostatic hyperplasia: 4-year data from the CombAT trial. *J Urol.* 2012;187(5):1732-8. PMID: 22425127
20. F Porpiglia, C Fiori, R Bertolo, D Garrou, G Cattaneo, D Amparore. Temporary implantable nitinol device (TIND): a novel, minimally invasive treatment for relief of lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) related to benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH): feasibility, safety and functional results at 1 year of follow-up. *BJU Int.* 2015;116(2):278-87. PMID: 25382816
21. F Porpiglia, C Fiori, R Bertolo, et al. 3-Year follow-up of temporary implantable nitinol device implantation for the treatment of benign prostatic obstruction. *BJU Int.* 2018;122(1):106-12. PMID: 29359881
22. JV Franco, JH Jung, M Imamura, et al. Minimally invasive treatments for lower urinary tract symptoms in men with benign prostatic hyperplasia: a network meta-analysis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2021;7(7):CD013656. PMID: 34693990
23. B Chughtai, D Elterman, N Shore, et al. The iTind Temporarily Implanted Nitinol Device for the Treatment of Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms Secondary to Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia: A Multicenter, Randomized, Controlled Trial. *Urology.* 2021;153:270-76. PMID: 33373708
24. D Elterman, MN Alshak, S Martinez Diaz, et al. An Evaluation of Sexual Function in the Treatment of Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms Secondary to Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia in Men Treated with the Temporarily Implanted Nitinol Device. *J Endourol.* 2023;37(1):74-79. PMID: 36070450
25. F Porpiglia, C Fiori, D Amparore, et al. Second-generation of temporary implantable nitinol device for the relief of lower urinary tract symptoms due to benign prostatic hyperplasia: results of a prospective, multicentre study at 1 year of follow-up. *BJU Int.* 2019;123(6):1061-69. PMID: 30382600
26. G Kadner, M Valerio, I Giannakis, et al. Second generation of temporary implantable nitinol device (iTind) in men with LUTS: 2 year results of the MT-02-study. *World J Urol.* 2020;38(12):3235-44. PMID: 32124019
27. D Amparore, C Fiori, M Valerio, et al. 3-Year results following treatment with the second generation of the temporary implantable nitinol device in men with LUTS secondary to benign prostatic obstruction. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis.* 2021;24(2):349-57. PMID: 33005003
28. C De Nunzio, F Cantiello, C Fiori, et al. Urinary and sexual function after treatment with temporary implantable nitinol device (iTind) in men with LUTS: 6-month interim results of the MT-06-study. *World J Urol.* 2021;39(6):2037-42. PMID: 32851439

29. SP Elliott, K Coutinho, KJ Robertson, et al. One-Year Results for the ROBUST III Randomized Controlled Trial Evaluating the Optilume(®) Drug-Coated Balloon for Anterior Urethral Strictures. *J Urol.* 2022;207(4):866-75. PMID: 34854748
30. JM DeLong, MJ Ehlert, BA Erickson, KJ Robertson, R Virasoro, SP Elliott. One-Year Outcomes of the ROBUST II Study Evaluating the Use of a Drug-Coated Balloon for Treatment of Urethral Stricture. *Société Internationale d'Urologie Journal.* 2022;3(1):21-27. PMID:
31. R Virasoro, JM DeLong, RA Mann, et al. A drug-coated balloon treatment for urethral stricture disease: Interim results from the ROBUST I study. *Can Urol Assoc J.* 2020;14(6):187-91. PMID: 31977303
32. RA Mann, R Virasoro, JM DeLong, et al. A drug-coated balloon treatment for urethral stricture disease: Two-year results from the ROBUST I study. *Can Urol Assoc J.* 2021;15(2):20-25. PMID: 32744999
33. R Virasoro, JM DeLong, RE Estrella, et al. A Drug-Coated Balloon Treatment for Urethral Stricture Disease: Three-Year Results from the ROBUST I Study. *Res Rep Urol.* 2022;14:177-83. PMID: 35572815

## CODES

Codes	Number	Description
CPT	0619T	Cystourethroscopy with transurethral anterior prostate commissurotomy and drug delivery, including transrectal ultrasound and fluoroscopy, when performed
	52284	Cystourethroscopy, with mechanical urethral dilation and urethral therapeutic drug delivery by drug-coated balloon catheter for urethral stricture or stenosis, male, including fluoroscopy, when performed
	53855	Insertion of a temporary urethral stent, including urethral measurement
HCPCS	C9769	Cystourethroscopy, with insertion of temporary prostatic implant/stent with fixation/anchor and incisional struts (Nitinol, iTind device)

**Date of Origin:** 2023