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**IMPORTANT REMINDER**

Medical Policies are developed to provide guidance for members and providers regarding coverage in accordance with contract terms. Benefit determinations are based in all cases on the applicable contract language. To the extent there may be any conflict between the Medical Policy and contract language, the contract language takes precedence.

PLEASE NOTE: Contracts exclude from coverage, among other things, services or procedures that are considered investigational or cosmetic. Providers may bill members for services or procedures that are considered investigational or cosmetic. Providers are encouraged to inform members before rendering such services that the members are likely to be financially responsible for the cost of these services.

**DESCRIPTION**

The heart/lung transplantation involves a coordinated triple operative procedure consisting of procurement of a donor heart-lung block, excision of the heart and lungs of the recipient, and implantation of the heart and lungs into the recipient. A heart/lung transplantation refers to the transplantation of one or both lungs and heart from a single cadaver donor.

**MEDICAL POLICY CRITERIA**

I. Heart/lung *transplantation* may be considered **medically necessary** for carefully selected patients with end-stage cardiac and pulmonary disease including, but not limited to, one of the following diagnoses:

A. Irreversible primary pulmonary hypertension with heart failure
B. Nonspecific severe pulmonary fibrosis, with severe heart failure
C. Eisenmenger complex with irreversible pulmonary hypertension and heart failure
D. Cystic fibrosis with severe heart failure
E. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with heart failure
F. Emphysema with severe heart failure
G. Pulmonary fibrosis with uncontrollable pulmonary hypertension or heart failure
II. Heart/lung transplantation is considered **not medically necessary** in patients without end-stage cardiac and pulmonary disease.

III. Heart/lung retransplantation after a failed primary heart/lung transplant may be considered **medically necessary** in patients with end-stage cardiac and pulmonary disease as described in criterion I above.

IV. Heart/lung retransplantation is considered **not medically necessary** in patients without end-stage cardiac and pulmonary disease.

**NOTE:** A summary of the supporting rationale for the policy criteria is at the end of the policy.

### LIST OF INFORMATION NEEDED FOR REVIEW

It is critical that the list of information below is submitted for review to determine if the policy criteria are met. If any of these items are not submitted, it could impact our review and decision outcome.

- History and physical/chart notes
- Diagnosis and indication for transplant

### CROSS REFERENCES

1. [Ventricular Assist Devices and Total Artificial Hearts](#), Surgery, Policy No. 52
2. [Heart Transplant](#), Transplant, Policy No. 2
3. [Lung and Lobular Transplant](#), Transplant, Policy No. 8

### BACKGROUND

Combined heart/lung transplantation is intended to prolong survival and improve function in patients with end-stage cardiac and pulmonary diseases. The majority of recipients have Eisenmenger syndrome (37%), followed by idiopathic pulmonary artery hypertension (28%) and cystic fibrosis (14%). Eisenmenger syndrome is a form of congenital heart disease in which systemic-to-pulmonary shunting leads to pulmonary vascular resistance. Eventually, pulmonary hypertension may lead to a reversal of the intracardiac shunting and inadequate peripheral oxygenation, or cyanosis.[1]

However, the total number of patients with Eisenmenger syndrome has been declining in recent years, as a result of corrective surgical techniques and improved medical management of pulmonary hypertension. Heart/lung transplants have not increased appreciably for other indications either, as it has become more common to transplant a single or double lung and maximize medical therapy for heart failure, rather than perform a combined transplant. In these, patient survival rates are similar to lung transplant rates. Bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome is a major complication; one, five, and 10-year patient survival rates are 68%, 50%, and 40%, respectively.[1]

In 2016, 18 individuals received heart/lung transplants in the United States. As of March 2017, there were 46 patients on the waiting list for heart/lung transplants.[2]

### EVIDENCE SUMMARY

Due to the nature of the patient population requiring heart/lung transplantation, there were no
randomized controlled trials (RCTs) comparing heart/lung transplant to alternatives. Systematic reviews are based on case series and registry data. The extant RCTs compare surgical technique, infection prophylaxis, and immunosuppressive therapy and are not germane to this policy. The following is a summary of evidence based on registry data, case series, and expert opinion.

PATIENT SELECTION

Patients who are eligible for heart/lung transplantation can be listed under both the heart and lung allocation systems in the United States. In 2005, United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS) changed the method by which lungs were allocated, from one based on length of time on the waiting list, to a system that incorporates the severity of the patient’s underlying disease, as well as likelihood of survival.[3] However, it has been noted that the individual systems underestimate the severity of illness in patients with both end-stage heart and lung failure, and modification of the lung allocation score can be appealed for patients who meet the following criteria:

- Deterioration on optimal therapy
- Right arterial pressure greater than 15 mm Hg
- Cardiac index less than 1.8 L/min/m².

PEDIATRIC CONSIDERATIONS

A 2014 analysis of data from the Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network (OPTN) reported on indications for pediatric heart/lung transplantation.[4] The number of pediatric heart/lung transplants has decreased in recent years (i.e., 56 cases in 1993-1997; 21 cases in 2008-2013). The three most common indications for pediatric heart/lung transplant were primary pulmonary hypertension (n=55), congenital heart disease (n=37), and Eisenmenger syndrome (n=30). However, while 30 children received a heart/lung transplant for Eisenmenger syndrome through 2002, none have been performed for this indication since then. Pediatric heart/lung transplants have also been performed for other indications including alpha1 antitrypsin deficiency, pulmonary vascular disease, cystic fibrosis, and dilated cardiomyopathy.

In 2012, the Registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation (ISHLT) reported on pediatric heart/lung transplant data collected through June 2011.[5] In recent years, the number of heart/lung transplant procedures in children has decreased, and the number of lung transplants has increased. There have not been any heart/lung transplants in infants since 2007. Overall, survival rates after heart/lung transplants are comparable in children and adults (median half-life of 4.7 and 5.3 years, respectively). For pediatric heart/lung transplants that occurred between January 1990 and June 2010, the five year survival rate was 49%. The two leading causes of death in the first year after transplantation were non-cytomegalovirus infection and graft failure. Beyond three years post-transplant, the major cause of death was bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. An updated report on pediatric lung and heart-lung transplant from the same registry in 2014 did not include updated data on pediatric heart-lung transplants due to the small number of patients available.[6]

RETRANSPLANTATION

Repeat heart-lung transplant procedures have been performed; only three published studies were identified that reported on outcomes after repeat heart-lung transplants. In 2014, the
ISHLT described outcomes after retransplantation as compared with primary transplantation, including identifying risk factors leading to retransplantation and both transplant-related morbidities and mortality after retransplantation.[7] The authors reviewed 9,248 primary transplants and 602 retransplants. After retransplantation, early time-related risk of mortality was similar to that after primary transplantation (HR 1.07; 95% CI, 0.92 to 1.25; p = 0.40), but both late-phase time-related risk of mortality (HR 1.67; 95% CI, 1.40 to 1.99; p < 0.001) and requirement of an additional graft (HR 1.69; 95% CI, 1.18 to 2.43; p = 0.004) were higher. Long-term morbidities were significantly more common after retransplantation than with primary transplantation. The authors concluded that retransplantation after primary transplant in the pediatric age group, although feasible with similar early survival, is associated with decreased long-term survival and an increase in transplant-related morbidities.

Yusen (2014) reported outcomes for adult heart-lung transplants, with a focus on retransplantation, using data from the ISHLT Registry.[8] Thirty-three participating centers reported 75 adult heart-lung transplants in 2012, a decline from the peak year for heart-lung transplants (1989) during which 226 heart-lung transplants were performed. From 1982-2012, 90 adults had a first heart–lung retransplant after a previous heart–lung transplant. These 90 patients had a median survival of 0.3 year, with an unadjusted survival rate of 52%, 43%, 36%, and 27% at three months, one year, three years, and five years, respectively. Those who survived to one year had a conditional mean survival of 7.9 years.

Shuhaiber (2008) published results from a review of data from the UNOS registry.[9] The authors identified 799 primary heart-lung and 19 repeat heart-lung transplants. According to Kaplan-Meier survival analysis, the observed median survival times were 2.08 years after primary transplant and 0.34 years after repeat transplants. In addition, the authors analyzed survival data in matched pairs of primary and repeat transplant patients, who were matched on a number of potentially confounding demographic and clinical characteristics. Matches were not available for four repeat transplant patients. For the 15 repeat transplant patients with primary transplant matches, survival time did not differ significantly in the two groups. Being on a ventilator was statistically significantly associated with decreased survival time. The main limitation of this analysis is the small number of repeat transplant procedures performed.

POTENTIAL CONTRAINDICATIONS

Individual transplant centers may differ in their guidelines, and individual patient characteristics may vary within a specific condition. In general, heart transplantation is contraindicated in patients who are not expected to survive the procedure, or in whom patient-oriented outcomes, such as morbidity or mortality, are not expected to change due to comorbid conditions unaffected by transplantation (e.g., imminently terminal cancer or other disease). Further, consideration is given to conditions in which the necessary immunosuppression would lead to hastened demise, such as active untreated infection. However, stable chronic infections have not always been shown to reduce life expectancy in heart transplant patients.

Malignancy

Concerns regarding a potential recipients history of cancer were based on the observation of significantly increased incidence of cancer in kidney transplant patients.[10] In fact, carcinogenesis is two to four times more common, primarily skin cancers, in both heart transplant and lung transplant patients, likely due to the higher doses of immunosuppression.
necessary for the prevention of allograft rejection.\cite{1,11} The incidence of de novo cancer in heart transplant patients approaches 26\% at eight years post-transplant, the rate for lung transplant is 28\% at ten years. For renal transplant patients who had a malignancy treated prior to transplant, the incidence of recurrence ranged from zero to more than 25\%, depending on the tumor type.\cite{12,13}

In a 2013 retrospective cohort study, de novo cancer-related deaths in Australian liver and cardiothoracic transplant recipients were analyzed during a median five year follow-up.\cite{14} De novo cancer-related mortality risk in liver and cardiothoracic recipients was significantly elevated compared to the matched general population (n = 171; SMR = 2.83; 95\% confidence interval [95\%CI], 2.43-3.27). Excess risk was observed regardless of transplanted organ, recipient age group or sex. Risk of death from de novo cancer was high in pediatric recipients (n = 5; SMR = 41.3; 95\%CI, 13.4-96.5), four of the five deaths were non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Authors suggest that de novo cancer was a leading cause of late death, particularly in heart and liver transplantation.

However, it should be noted that the availability of alternate treatment strategies informs recommendations for a waiting period following high-risk malignancies: in renal transplant, a delay in transplantation is possible due to dialysis; end-stage cardiopulmonary failure patients may not have an option. A small study (n=33) of survivors of lymphoproliferative cancers who subsequently received cardiac transplant had one, five, and ten-year survival rates of 77\%, 64\%, and 50\%, respectively.\cite{15} By comparison, overall one, five, and ten-year survival rates are expected to be 88\%, 74\%, and 55\%, respectively for the general transplant candidate. The evaluation of a candidate who has a history of cancer must consider the prognosis and risk of recurrence from available information including tumor type and stage, response to therapy, and time since therapy was completed. Although evidence is limited, patients in whom cancer is thought to be cured should not be excluded from consideration for transplant. UNOS has not addressed malignancy in current policies.

**HIV**

Solid organ transplant for patients who are HIV-positive (HIV+) was historically controversial, due to the long-term prognosis for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) positivity and the impact of immunosuppression on HIV disease. The availability of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART), has markedly changed the natural history of the disease. A 2009 retrospective case series reported favorable outcomes for seven patients with HIV who received a heart transplant.\cite{16} However, there is little data directly comparing outcomes for patients with and without HIV or for combined heart-lung transplants.

As of February 2013, the UNOS/OPTM policy on HIV-positive transplant candidates states: “A potential candidate for organ transplantation whose test for HIV is positive should not be excluded from candidacy for organ transplantation unless there is a documented contraindication to transplantation based on local policy.”\cite{2}

**OTHER**

Considerations for heart transplantation and lung transplantation alone may also pertain to combined heart-lung transplantation. For example, cystic fibrosis accounts for the majority of pediatric candidates for heart-lung transplantation, and infection with *Burkholderia* species is associated with higher mortality in these patients. Also, experience with kidney transplantation in patients infected with HIV in the era of HAART has opened discussion of...
transplantation of other solid organs in these patients. These topics are addressed more fully in the separate policies on heart transplantation and lung transplantation.

**PRACTICE GUIDELINE SUMMARY**

**THE INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY FOR HEART AND LUNG TRANSPLANTATION**

In 2015, the Pulmonary Scientific Council of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation updated their 2006 their consensus-based guidelines [17,18] The guideline states:

“Patients with advanced cardiac and lung diseases not amenable to either isolated heart or lung transplant may be candidates for combined heart-lung transplantation. Most commonly, patients with irreversible myocardial dysfunction or congenital defects with irreparable defects of the valves or chambers in conjunction with intrinsic lung disease or severe PAH [pulmonary arterial hypertension] are considered for heart-lung transplantation.”

The guidelines include criteria for absolute and relative contraindications, as well as special surgical and disease specific considerations for all types of organ transplants.

**SUMMARY**

There is enough research to show that heart/lung transplantation can improve survival for certain patients. Therefore, heart/lung transplant may be considered medically necessary in patients who meet criteria. Similarly, heart/lung retransplantation may improve survival for certain patients who have had a prior transplant. Therefore, heart/lung retransplantation may be considered medically necessary in patients with a failed prior transplant who meet the clinical criteria for heart-lung transplantation.
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### CODES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Codes</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPT</td>
<td>33930</td>
<td>Donor cardiectomy-pneumonectomy, (including cold preparation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Codes</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33933</td>
<td>Backbench standard preparation of cadaver donor heart/lung allograft prior to transplantation, including dissection of allograft from surrounding soft tissues to prepare aorta, superior vena cava, inferior vena cava, and trachea for implantation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33935</td>
<td>Heart-lung transplant with recipient cardiectomy-pneumonectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCPCS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Date of Origin: March 2013*