

Genetic Testing for Cardiac Ion Channelopathies

Effective: March 1, 2019

Next Review: December 2019

Last Review: January 2019

IMPORTANT REMINDER

Medical Policies are developed to provide guidance for members and providers regarding coverage in accordance with contract terms. Benefit determinations are based in all cases on the applicable contract language. To the extent there may be any conflict between the Medical Policy and contract language, the contract language takes precedence.

PLEASE NOTE: Contracts exclude from coverage, among other things, services or procedures that are considered investigational or cosmetic. Providers may bill members for services or procedures that are considered investigational or cosmetic. Providers are encouraged to inform members before rendering such services that the members are likely to be financially responsible for the cost of these services.

DESCRIPTION

Pathogenic variants associated with cardiac ion channelopathies are associated with cases of seizure, syncope, or sudden death in patients under the age of 40.

MEDICAL POLICY CRITERIA

- I. Genetic testing, single gene or genetic panel testing, for Long QT syndrome (LQTS) may be considered **medically necessary** in patients who do not meet the clinical criteria for LQTS (e.g., Schwartz score less than 4; see Policy Guidelines) but meet one or more of the following criteria:
 - A. A close blood relative (see Policy Guidelines) with a known LQTS pathogenic variant; or
 - B. A close blood relative diagnosed with LQTS by clinical means whose genetic status is unavailable; or
 - C. Signs or symptoms indicating a moderate pretest probability of LQTS (e.g., Schwarz score of 2-3)

- II. Genetic testing, single gene or genetic panel testing, for Catecholaminergic Polymorphic Ventricular Tachycardia (CPVT) may be considered **medically necessary** in patients who meet one or more of the following criteria:
 - A. A close blood relative with a known CPVT pathogenic variant; or
 - B. A close blood relative diagnosed with CPVT by clinical means whose genetic status is unavailable;
 - C. Clinical suspicion of CPVT based upon the presence of polymorphic ventricular arrhythmias as documented by electrocardiogram (ECG or EKG) induced by either of the following methods:
 - 1. Graded exercise stress test; or
 - 2. Infusion of epinephrine in patients where exercise is contraindicated.
- III. Genetic testing, single gene or genetic panel testing, for Brugada syndrome (BrS) may be considered **medically necessary** in patients who meet one or more of the following criteria:
 - A. A close blood relative with a known BrS pathogenic variant; or
 - B. Clinical suspicion of BrS based upon the presence of one or more of the following:
 - 1. Characteristic electrocardiographic pattern as documented by ECG or EKG; or
 - 2. Documented ventricular arrhythmia; or
 - 3. Sudden cardiac death in a family member younger than 45 years old; or
 - 4. Characteristic electrocardiographic pattern in a family member as documented by ECG or EKG; or
 - 5. Inducible ventricular arrhythmias on electrophysiologic studies; or
 - 6. Syncope; or
 - 7. Documented history of nocturnal agonal respirations
- IV. Genetic testing, single gene or genetic panel testing, for Short QT syndrome (SQTS) may be considered **medically necessary** in patients with a close blood relative with a known SQTS pathogenic variant.
- V. Genetic testing for LQTS, CPVT, BrS or SQTS is considered **investigational** for all other indications including but not limited to the following:
 - A. To determine prognosis and/or direct therapy
 - B. For screening of the general population
- VI. Genetic testing for all other cardiac ion channelopathies is considered **investigational**.

NOTE: A summary of the supporting rationale for the policy criteria is at the end of the policy.

POLICY GUIDELINES

Schwartz Criteria (diagnostic scoring system for LQTS):

- Greater than or equal to 4 indicates a high probability of LQTS
- 2–3 indicates an intermediate probability of LQTS
- Less than or equal to 1 indicates a low probability of LQTS.

Close blood relatives include first-, second-, and third-degree relatives from the same lineage:

- First-degree relatives: parents, siblings, and children of an individual
- Second-degree relatives: grandparents, aunts, uncles, nieces, nephews, grandchildren, and half-siblings (siblings with one shared biological parent) of an individual
- Third-degree relatives: great-grandparents, great-aunts, great-uncles, great-grandchildren, and first-cousins

CROSS REFERENCES

1. [Genetic and Molecular Diagnostic Testing](#), Genetic Testing, Policy No. 20
2. [Genetic Testing for Predisposition to Inherited Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy](#), Genetic Testing, Policy No. 72

BACKGROUND

Genetic testing is available for patients suspected of having cardiac ion channelopathies including long QT syndrome (LQTS), catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (CPVT), Brugada syndrome (BrS), and short QT syndrome (SQTS). These disorders may range from asymptomatic to presenting with sudden cardiac death (SCD). These cardiac channelopathies can be difficult to diagnose, and the implications of an incorrect diagnosis could be catastrophic. Testing for pathogenic variants associated with these channelopathies may assist in diagnosis, prognostic risk stratification, medical management and/or identification of susceptibility for the disorders in asymptomatic family members.

The channelopathies discussed in this policy are genetically heterogeneous with hundreds of identified pathogenic variants, but the group of disorders share a basic clinical expression. The most common presentation is spontaneous or exercise-triggered syncope due to ventricular dysrhythmia. These can be self-limiting or potentially lethal cardiac events. The electrocardiographic features of each channelopathy are characteristic, but the electrocardiogram (ECG) is not diagnostic in all cases and some secondary events (e.g., electrolyte disturbance, cardiomyopathies, or subarachnoid hemorrhage) may result in an ECG similar to those observed in a cardiac channelopathy.

The circumstances surrounding cardiac arrest may often be insightful concerning which channelopathy is present. For example, cardiac arrest during exercise (especially swimming) occurs in 68% of patients with LQTS 1 but may also point to CPVT. Mental stress can trigger cardiac arrest in CPVT or it could be indicative of LQTS 2 if auditory stimuli or emotion are associated with the event. Cardiac arrest during sleep is suggestive of LQTS 3 or BrS while cardiac arrest during a fever, after a large meal, or if the patient is abusing cocaine are considered to be suspicious of BrS.^[1]

LONG QT SYNDROME

Long QT syndrome (LQTS) is an inherited disorder characterized by the lengthening of the repolarization phase of the ventricular action potential, increasing the risk for arrhythmic events which may in turn result in syncope and sudden cardiac death. LQTS is characterized as a pathogenic variant in one of the genes that controls cellular sodium and potassium ion

channels. Management has focused on the use of beta blockers as first-line treatment, with pacemakers or implantable cardiac defibrillators (ICD) as second-line therapy.

LQTS usually manifests before the age of 40 years and may be suspected when there is a history of seizure, syncope, or sudden death in a child or young adult. It is estimated that more than one half of the 8,000 sudden unexpected deaths in children may be related to LQTS. The mortality rate of untreated patients with LQTS is estimated at 1–2% per year, although this figure varies with the genotype.^[2] Frequently, syncope or sudden death occurs during physical exertion or emotional excitement, and thus LQTS has received publicity regarding evaluation of adolescents for participation in sports.

Clinical Diagnosis

Diagnostic criteria for LQTS have been established which focus on ECG findings and clinical and family history.^[3] However, measurement of the QT interval is not well-standardized, and in some instances, patients may be considered borderline cases.^[4] The Schwartz criteria are commonly used as a diagnostic scoring system for LQTS (see Policy Guidelines for scoring definitions).^[3]

Prior to the availability of genetic testing, it was not possible to test the sensitivity and specificity of this scoring system; and since there is still no perfect gold standard for diagnosing LQTS, the accuracy of this scoring system remains ill-defined.

CATECHOLAMINERGIC POLYMORPHIC VENTRICULAR TACHYCARDIA

Catecholaminergic Polymorphic Ventricular Tachycardia (CPVT) is a rare inherited channelopathy which may present with autosomal dominant or autosomal recessive inheritance. The prevalence of CPVT is estimated between 1 in 7000 and 1 in 10,000 persons. The disorder manifests as a bidirectional or polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (VT) precipitated by exercise or emotional stress.^[1] CPVT has a mortality rate of 30-50% by age 35 and is responsible for 13% of cardiac arrests in structurally normal hearts.^[1] CPVT was believed to be only manifest during childhood but studies have now identified presentation between infancy and 40 years of age.^[5]

Management of CPVT is primarily with the beta-blockers nadolol (1-2.5 mg/kg/day) or propranolol (2-4 mg/kg/day). If protection is incomplete (i.e., recurrence of syncope or arrhythmia), then flecainide (100- 300 mg/day) may be added. If recurrence continues an ICD may be necessary with optimized pharmacologic management continued post implantation.^[6] Lifestyle modification with the avoidance of strenuous exercise is recommended for all CPVT patients.

Clinical Diagnosis

Patients generally present with syncope or cardiac arrest during the first or second decade of life. The symptoms are always triggered by exercise or emotional stress. The resting ECG of patients with CPVT is typically normal, but exercise stress testing can induce ventricular arrhythmia in the majority of cases (75-100%).^[6,7] Premature ventricular contractions, couplets, bigeminy, or polymorphic VT are possible outcomes to the ECG stress test. For patients who are unable to exercise, an infusion of epinephrine may induce ventricular arrhythmia, but this is less effective than exercise testing.^[8]

BRUGADA SYNDROME (BRS)

Brugada syndrome (BrS) is characterized by cardiac conduction abnormalities which increase the risk of syncope, ventricular arrhythmia, and sudden cardiac death. The disorder primarily manifests during adulthood although ages between two days and 85 years have been reported.^[9] Males are more likely to be affected than females (approximately an 8:1 ratio). BrS is estimated to be responsible for 12% of SCD cases,^[10] and for both genders there is an equally high risk of ventricular arrhythmias or sudden death.^[11] Penetrance is highly variable ranging from asymptomatic expression to death within the first year of life.^[12] Management has focused on the use of implantable cardiac defibrillators (ICD) in patients with syncope or cardiac arrest and isoproterenol for electrical storms. Patients who are asymptomatic can be closely followed to determine if ICD implantation is necessary.

Clinical Diagnosis

The diagnosis of BrS requires the presence of a type 1 Brugada pattern on the ECG in addition to other clinical features.^[13] This ECG pattern includes a coved ST-segment and a J-point elevation of ≥ 0.2 mV followed by a negative T wave. This pattern should be observed in two or more of the right precordial ECG leads (V1 through V3). The pattern may be concealed and can be revealed by administering a sodium-channel-blocking agent (e.g., ajmaline or flecainide).^[14] Although two additional ECG patterns (type 2 and type 3) are available, only type 1 is considered diagnostic for the disorder.^[15] The diagnosis of BrS is considered definite when the characteristic ECG pattern is present with at least one of the following clinical features: documented ventricular arrhythmia, sudden cardiac death in a family member <45 years old, characteristic ECG pattern in a family member, inducible ventricular arrhythmias on EP studies, syncope, or nocturnal agonal respirations.

SHORT QT SYNDROME

Short QT syndrome (SQTS) is characterized by a shortened QT interval on the ECG and, at the cellular level, a shortening of the action potential.^[16] The clinical manifestations are an increased risk of atrial and/or ventricular arrhythmias. Because of the disease's rarity the prevalence and risk of sudden death are currently unknown.^[1]

The primary management of SQTS is with ICD therapy. The decision to treat with ICD therapy is typically based on the degree to which SQTS is considered likely, EKG features, family history, personal history of cardiac arrest or ventricular arrhythmias, and the ability to induce ventricular tachycardia on EP studies. Antiarrhythmic drug management of the disease is complicated because the binding target for QT-prolonging drugs (e.g., sotalol) is Kv11.1, which is coded for by *KCNH2*, the most common site for pathogenic variants in SQTS (subtype 1). Treatment with quinidine (which is able to bind to both open and inactivated states of Kv11.1) is an appropriate QT-prolonging treatment. This treatment has been reported to reduce the rate of arrhythmias from 4.9% to 0% per year. For those who recur while on quinidine, an ICD is recommended.^[7]

Clinical Diagnosis

Patients generally present with syncope, pre-syncope or cardiac arrest. An ECG with a corrected QT interval <330 ms, sharp T-wave at the end of the QRS complex, and a brief or absent ST-segment is characteristic of the SQTS.^[17] However, higher QT intervals on ECG might also indicate SQTS, and the clinician has to determine if this is within the normal range of QT values. If a diagnosis of SQTS is uncertain, electrophysiologic (EP) studies may be used to evaluate for short refractory periods and inducible ventricular tachycardia. However, in a

series of 29 patients with SQTS, ventricular tachycardia was inducible in only three of six subjects who underwent an EP study.^[18] In addition, the length of the QT interval was not associated with severity of symptoms, indicating the phenotypic heterogeneity in patients with SQTS. In 2011, a diagnostic scoring system was proposed by Gollob and colleagues to aid in decision-making after a review of 61 SQTS cases.^[19]

GENETIC TESTING

If a family member has been diagnosed with a cardiac channelopathy based on clinical characteristics; complete analysis of the specific channelopathy-associated genes can be performed to both identify the specific pathogenic variant and subtype. If a pathogenic variant is identified, then additional family members may undergo targeted genetic analysis for the identified variant.

Currently, interpretation of cardiac ion channelopathy variant testing is complicated by several factors. The pathophysiologic significance of each of the discrete variants is an important part of the interpretation of genetic analysis. Laboratories that test for cardiac ion channelopathies keep a database of known pathogenic variants; however, these are mainly proprietary and may vary among different laboratories. In addition, the probability that a specific variant is pathophysiologically significant is greatly increased if the same variant has been reported in other cases. However, a variant may also be found that has not definitely been associated with a disorder and therefore may or may not be pathogenic.

Another factor complicating interpretation of the genetic analysis is the penetrance of a given variant or the presence of multiple phenotypic expressions. For example, approximately 50% of carriers of variants never have any symptoms.

Long QT Syndrome

In recent years, a genetic basis for LQTS has emerged, with seven different subtypes recognized, each corresponding to variants in different genes.^[20] In addition, typical ST-T wave patterns are also suggestive of specific subtypes.^[21]

There are more than 1,200 unique variants on at least 13 genes that have been associated with LQTS, and each variant carries a unique pathophysiologic significance. In addition to single variants, some cases of LQTS are associated with large gene deletions or duplications.^[22] This may be the case in up to 5% of total cases of LQTS. These types of variants may not be identified by gene sequence analysis. They can be more reliably identified by chromosomal microarray analysis (CMA), also known as array comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH). Some laboratories that test for LQTS are now offering detection of LQTS-associated deletions and duplications by this testing method. This type of test may be offered as a separate test and may need to be ordered independently of gene sequence analysis when testing for LQTS.

The absence of a variant does not imply the absence of LQTS; it is estimated that variants are only identified in 70% to 75% of patients with a clinical diagnosis of LQTS.^[23] A negative test is only definitive when there is a known variant identified in a family member and targeted testing for this variant is negative. Other laboratories have investigated different testing strategies. For example, Napolitano propose a three-tiered approach, first testing for a core group of 64 codons that have a high incidence of variants, followed by additional testing of less frequent variants.^[24] There is variable penetrance for the LQTS, and penetrance may differ for the

various subtypes. While linkage studies in the past indicated that penetrance was 90% or greater, more recent analysis by molecular genetics has challenged this number, and suggested that penetrance may be as low as 25% for some families.^[25]

Pathogenic variants involving *KCNQ1*, *KCNH2*, and *SCN5A* are the most commonly detected in patients with genetically confirmed LQTS. Some variants are associated with extracardiac abnormalities in addition to the cardiac ion channel abnormalities.

Catecholaminergic Polymorphic Ventricular Tachycardia

Pathogenic variants in four genes are known to cause CPVT, and investigators believe other unidentified loci are involved as well. Currently, only 55% to 65% of patients with CPVT have an identified causative variant. Variants in *RYR2* or to *KCNJ2* result in an autosomal dominant form of CPVT with *CASQ2* and *TRDN*-related CPVT exhibiting autosomal recessive inheritance. Some authors have reported heterozygotes for *CASQ2* and *TRDN* variants rare, benign arrhythmias.^[6] *RYR2* variants represent the majority of CPVT cases (50-55%) with *CASQ2* accounting for 1-2% and *TRDN* accounting for an unknown proportion of cases. The penetrance of *RYR2* variants is approximated at 83%.^[6]

An estimated 50% to 70% of patients will have the dominant form of CPVT with a disease-causing variant. Most variants (90%) in *RYR2* are missense variants, but in a small proportion of unrelated CPVT patients, large gene rearrangements or exon deletions have been reported.^[26] Additionally, nearly a third of patients diagnosed as LQTS with normal QT intervals have CPVT due to identified *RYR2* variants. Another misclassification, CPVT diagnosed as Anderson-Tawil syndrome may result in more aggressive prophylaxis for CPVT whereas a correct diagnosis can spare this treatment as Anderson-Tawil syndrome is rarely lethal.

Brugada Syndrome

BrS is typically inherited in an autosomal dominant manner with incomplete penetrance. The proportion of cases that are inherited, versus de novo variants, is uncertain. Although some authors report up to 50% of cases are sporadic in nature, others report that the instance of de novo variants is very low and is estimated to be only 1% of cases.^[11] Variants in 16 genes have been identified as causative of BrS, but of these *SCN5A* is the most important accounting for 15-30% of cases.^[5] The other genes, including *SCN10A*, are of minor significance and account together for approximately 5% of cases.^[1] The absence of a positive test does not indicate the absence of BrS with more than 65% of cases not having an identified genetic cause. Penetrance of BrS among persons with a *SCN5A* variant is 80% when undergoing ECG with sodium channel blocker challenge and 25% when not using the ECG challenge.^[11]

Short QT Syndrome

SQTS has been linked predominantly to variants in three genes *KCNH2*, *KCNJ2*, and *KCNQ1*. Variants in genes encoding alpha- and beta-subunits of the L-type cardiac calcium channel (*CACNA1C* and *CACNB2*) have also been associated with SQTS. Some individuals with SQTS do not have a variants in these genes suggesting changes in other genes may also cause this disorder. SQTS is believed to be inherited in an autosomal dominant pattern. Although sporadic cases have been reported, patients frequently have a family history of the syndrome or SCD.

REGULATORY STATUS

There are no assay kits approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for genetic testing for cardiac ion channelopathies. Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house (“home-brew”) and market them as a laboratory service; such tests must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Act (CLIA). The laboratory offering the service must be licensed by CLIA for high-complexity testing.

EVIDENCE SUMMARY

Validation of the clinical use of any genetic test focuses on three main principles:

1. The analytic validity of the test, which refers to the technical accuracy of the test in detecting a variant that is present or in excluding a variant that is absent;
2. The clinical validity of the test, which refers to the diagnostic performance of the test (sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values) in detecting clinical disease; and
3. The clinical utility of the test, i.e., how the results of the diagnostic test will be used to change management of the patient and whether these changes in management lead to clinically important improvements in health outcomes.

Human Genome Variation Society (HGVS) nomenclature^[27] is used to describe variants found in DNA and serves as an international standard. It is being implemented for genetic testing medical evidence review updates starting in 2017. According to this nomenclature, the term “variant” is used to describe a change in a DNA or protein sequence, replacing previously-used terms, such as “mutation.” Pathogenic variants are variants associated with disease, while benign variants are not. The majority of genetic changes have unknown effects on human health, and these are referred to as variants of uncertain significance.

A BlueCross BlueShield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC) Assessment was completed in 2007 on, “Genetic Testing for Long QT Syndrome.”^[28] The following discussion of the evidence is based upon this assessment.

ANALYTIC VALIDITY

Commercially available genetic testing for cardiac channelopathies involves a variety of methods such as chip-based oligonucleotide hybridization, direct sequencing of protein-coding portions and flanking regions of targeted exons, and next generation sequencing. For each condition, the analytic sensitivity of these methods is between 95%-99%.^[12]

CLINICAL VALIDITY

The true clinical sensitivity and specificity of genetic testing for cardiac channelopathies cannot be determined with certainty, as there is no independent diagnostic gold standard. The clinical diagnosis can be compared to the genetic diagnosis, and vice versa, but neither the clinical diagnosis nor the results of genetic testing can be considered an adequate gold standard.

Undiagnosed Inherited Arrhythmias

A study published in 2018 by Leinonen examined the utility of genetic testing in identifying channelopathies in patients with idiopathic ventricular fibrillation.^[29] Whole-exome sequencing and next-generation sequencing were used to identify genetic variants that may underlie these patients' conditions. Pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants were found in 9% of patients.

Variants were located in the *RYR2*, *CACNA1C*, and *DSP* genes, with most in the *RYR2* gene. An additional 11.8% of patients had novel or extremely rare variants of unknown significance.

In 2016, Steinberg published results of a study in which relatives of unexplained cardiac arrest (UCA) and sudden unexplained death victims were screened for cardiac abnormalities.^[30] Three-hundred ninety-eight first-degree relatives received screening, including cardiac workup and genetic testing when a variant was identified in the patient. Of UCA and sudden unexplained death family members, 31% and 27%, respectively, were detected to have cardiac abnormalities. Disease-associated variants were identified in 5% of relatives, with *RyR2*, *SCN5A*, and *KNCQ1* being identified in 2%, 1%, and 0.8%, respectively.

Long QT Syndrome

Ultimately, the evidence indicates that genetic testing will identify more individuals with possible LQTS compared with clinical diagnosis alone. It may often not be possible to determine with certainty whether patients with a genetic variant have the true clinical syndrome of LQTS. The data also demonstrate that approximately 30% of patients with a clinical diagnosis will not be found to have a known pathogenic variant, suggesting that there are additional variants associated with LQTS that have not been identified to date. Therefore, a negative genetic test is not definitive for excluding LQTS at the present time.

Hofman (2007) performed the largest study, comparing clinical methods with genetic diagnosis using registry data.^[31] This study compared multiple methods for making the clinical diagnosis, including the Schwartz score, the Keating criteria, and the absolute length of the corrected QT (QTc) with genetic testing. These data indicate that only a minority of patients with a genetic variant will meet the clinical criteria for LQTS. Using a Schwartz score of 4 or greater, the study found only 19% of patients with a genetic variant met the clinical definition of LQTS. Even at lower cutoffs of the Schwartz score, the percentage of patients with a genetic variant who met clinical criteria was still relatively low, improving to only 48% when a cutoff of two or greater was used. When the Keating criteria were used for clinical diagnosis, similar results were obtained. Only 36% of patients with a genetic variant met the Keating criteria for LQTS.

The best overall accuracy was obtained by using the length of the QTc as the sole criterion; however, even this criterion achieved only modest sensitivity at the expense of lower specificity. Using a cutoff of 430 msec or longer for the QT interval, a sensitivity of 72% and a specificity of 86% was obtained.

Tester (2006) completed the largest study to evaluate the percent of individuals with a clinical diagnosis of LQTS that are found to have a genetic variant.^[32] The population in this study was 541 consecutive patients referred for evaluation of LQTS. A total of 123 patients had definite LQTS on clinical grounds, defined as a Schwartz score of 4 or greater, and 274 patients were found to have a LQTS variant. The genetic diagnosis was compared to the clinical diagnosis, defined as a Schwartz score of 4 or greater. Of all 123 patients with a clinical diagnosis of LQTS, 72% (89/123) were found to have a genetic variant.

The evidence on clinical specificity focuses on the frequency and interpretation of variants that are identified that are not known to be pathologic. If a variant is identified that is previously known to be pathologic, then the specificity of this finding is high. However, many variants are discovered on gene sequencing that are not known to be pathologic, and the specificity of these types of findings are lower. The rate of identification of variants is estimated to be in the range of 5% for patients who do not have LQTS.^[33]

A publication from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) GO exome sequencing project (ESP) reported on the rate of sequence variations in a large number of patients without LQTS.^[34] The ESP sequenced all genome regions of protein-coding in a sample of 5,400 persons drawn from various populations, none of which included patients specifically with heart disease and/or channelopathies. Exome data were systematically searched to identify sequence variations that had previously been associated with LQTS, including both nonsense variations that are generally pathologic and missense variations that are less likely to be pathologic. A total of 33 such sequence variations were identified in the total population, all of them being missense variations. The percent of the population that had at least one of these missense variations was 5.2%. There were no nonsense variations associated with LQTS found among the entire population.

Catecholaminergic Polymorphic Ventricular Tachycardia

Sensitivity for the GeneDX® three-gene CPVT panel is estimated to be between 50%-70% by the manufacturer. Yield is affected by the patient's VT. If the VT is bidirectional (BVT), the test will have a high yield versus the more atypical presentation of idiopathic ventricular fibrillation (IVF), which has a lower (15%) yield. The overall penetrance of CPVT has been estimated at 60%-70%.^[35]

The specificity of known pathogenic variants for CPVT is not certain, but likely to be high. A publication from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Exome Sequencing Project (NHLBI ESP) reported on sequence variations in a large number of patients without CPVT.^[36] The ESP sequenced all genome regions of protein-coding in a sample of 6503 persons drawn from various populations who did not specifically have CPVT or other cardiac ion channelopathies. Exome data were systematically searched to identify missense variations that had previously been associated with CPVT. The authors identified 11% of the previously described variants in the ESP population in 41 putative CPVT cases. This data suggests that false positive results are low; however, the presence of one of these variants may not always translate into the development of CPVT.

Kaplinger (2018) published a retrospective case-control study with the goal of improving the diagnostic interpretation of rare *RYR2* variants.^[37] Of the total of 1200 individuals with suspected CPVT who were referred for commercial genetic testing, 218 (18.2%) were found to have a *RYR2* rare variant. An additional group of 78 well-phenotyped cases and "strong" diagnosis of CPVT, with a history of exertional syncope with documentation of exercise-related bidirectional or polymorphic ventricular tachycardia was included, as well as a group of well-phenotyped patients classified as possible CPVT. Of the strong CPVT cases, 46 (59.0%) had a rare variant and of the possible cases, 31.2% had a rare variant.

Brugada Syndrome

A study of genotype-phenotype correlation of *SCN5A* pathogenic variants with symptoms of Brugada syndrome in a Japanese registry trial was published by Yamagata in 2017.^[38] A cohort of 415 patients (97% men) with known *SCN5A* variant status were followed for 72 months and those with and without pathogenic *SCN5A* variants were compared. Those with *SCN5A* variants had statistically different results from those without on a number of measures. They had their first cardiac event at a younger age, had a higher positive rate of late potentials, had longer P-wave, PQ, and QRS durations, and had a higher rate of cardiac events. *SCN5A* pathogenic variant status and history of aborted cardiac arrest were significant predictors of cardiac events (calculated via multivariate analysis).

The yield of *SCN5A* pathogenic variant testing in Brugada syndrome (BrS) is low.^[39] Analyses of patients with a high clinical suspicion of BrS provided a yield of approximately 25% to 35% for a documented pathogenic variant.^[11] Of the eight identified genes for BrS, the most commonly identified is *SCNA5* which is found in more than 20% of genotype positive cases.^[11]

NHLBI ESP data identified a BrS prevalence of 4.7% when considering the maximal number of identified genes and variants, which is far higher than in the general population.^[40] In a prediction analysis using three or more prediction tools, the ESP determined that 47% of the BrS variants were determined to be pathogenic compared to 75% of the BrS variants found in the published literature.

In 2015, Behr evaluated seven candidate genes (*SCN10A*, *HAND1*, *PLN*, *CASQ2*, *TKT*, *TBX3*, and *TBX5*) among 156 patients negative for *SCN5A* variants with symptoms indicative of BrS (64%) and/or a family history of sudden death (47%) or BrS (18%).^[41] Candidate genes had been selected based on a previous genome-wide association study based on strength of association and biologic plausibility. Eighteen patients (11.5%) were found to have variants, most often in *SCN10A* (12/18; 67%). Inquiry into other variants associated with BrS is ongoing.

In 2014, Hu evaluated the prevalence of *SCN10A* variants in 120 probands with BrS and more than 200 healthy controls.^[42] *SCN10A* encodes a voltage-gated sodium channel located adjacent to *SCN5A* on chromosome 3p21-22, and had previously been associated with pain perception but more recently was found in genome wide association studies to be associated with cardiac conduction abnormalities. Seventeen *SCN10A* variants were identified in 25 probands, with a variant detection rate of 16.7% in BrS probands.

In 2013, Bezzina performed a genome-wide association study of 312 European individuals with BrS and 1,115 controls from the 1000 Genomes Project.^[43] Two significant association signals were identified, one at the *SCN10A* locus (rs10428132) and another near the *HEY2* gene (rs9388451).

Short QT Syndrome

Limited data on the clinical validity of SQTs were identified in the peer reviewed literature due to the rarity of the condition. A precise genetic testing yield is unknown.

Section Summary

This evidence indicates that genetic testing will identify more individuals with possible cardiac ion channelopathies compared with clinical diagnosis alone. It may often not be possible to determine with certainty whether patients with a genetic variant have the true clinical syndrome of the disorder. None of the clinical sensitivities for the assays in this policy are above 80% suggesting that there are additional variants associated with the channelopathies that have not been identified to date. Therefore, a negative genetic test is not definitive for excluding LQTS, CPVT, BrS or SQTs at the present time.

Data on the clinical specificity was available for LQTS and very limited data for CPVT. The specificity varied according to the type of variant identified. For LQTS nonsense variants, which have the highest rate of pathogenicity, there were very few false positives among patients without LQTS, and therefore a high specificity. However, for missense variants, there was a rate of approximately 5% among patients without LQTS; therefore the specificity for these types of variant is less and false positive results do occur.

CLINICAL UTILITY

Long QT Syndrome

Genetic Testing for LQTS to Determine Diagnosis

For diagnosing LQTS, the clinical utility of genetic testing is high. LQTS is a disorder that may lead to catastrophic outcomes, i.e., sudden cardiac death in otherwise healthy individuals. Diagnosis using clinical methods alone may lead to under-diagnosis of LQTS, thus exposing undiagnosed patients to the risk of sudden cardiac arrest. For patients in whom the clinical diagnosis of LQTS is uncertain, genetic testing may be the only way to further clarify whether LQTS is present. Patients who are identified as genetic carriers of LQTS pathogenic variants have a non-negligible risk of adverse cardiac events even in the absence of clinical signs and symptoms of the disorder. Therefore, treatment is likely indicated for patients found to have a LQTS pathogenic variant, with or without other signs or symptoms.

Treatment with beta blockers has been demonstrated to decrease the likelihood of cardiac events, including sudden cardiac arrest. Although there are no controlled trials of beta blockers, there are pre-post studies from registry data that provide evidence on this question. Two such studies reported large decreases in cardiovascular events and smaller decreases in cardiac arrest and/or sudden death after starting treatment with beta blockers.^[44,45] These studies reported a statistically significant reduction in cardiovascular events of greater than 50% following initiation of beta-blocker therapy. There was a reduction of similar magnitude in cardiac arrest/sudden death, which was also statistically significant.

Sodium-channel blockers such as mexiletine are sometimes used, particularly in those with *SCN5A* pathogenic variants. In a retrospective cohort study of 34 LQT3 patients with median QTc interval before therapy of 509 ms, mexiletine treatment of a median 36 months was associated with a statistically significant reduction in QTc (by 63 ± 6 ms; $p < 0.001$), as well as percentage and rate of arrhythmic events.^[46] The annual rate of arrhythmic events was 10.3% preceding treatment and 0.7% following initiation of treatment ($p < 0.001$). Thus the investigators concluded that mexiletine exerted effective antiarrhythmic effects in this cohort and represents a genotype-specific treatment for LQT3 patients with *SCN5A* pathogenic variants.

Treatment with an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) is available for patients who fail or cannot take beta-blocker therapy. One published study reported on outcomes of treatment with ICDs.^[47] This study identified patients in the LQTS registry who had been treated with an ICD at the discretion of their treating physician. Patients in the registry who were not treated with an ICD, but had the same indications, were used as a control group. The authors reported that patients treated with an ICD had a greater than 60% reduction in cardiovascular outcomes.

One study reported on changes in management that resulted from diagnosing LQTS by testing relatives of affected patients with known LQTS (cascade testing).^[48] Cascade testing of 66 index patients with LQTS led to the identification of 308 pathogenic variant carriers. After a mean follow-up of 69 months, treatment was initiated in 199/308 (65%) of carriers. Beta-blockers were started in 163 patients, a pacemaker was inserted in 26 patients, and an ICD was inserted in 10 patients. All carriers received education on lifestyle issues and avoidance of drugs that can cause QT prolongation.

Two studies evaluated the psychological effects of genetic testing for LQTS. Hendriks studied 77 patients with a LQTS pathogenic variant and their 57 partners.^[49] Psychologic testing was performed after the diagnosis of LQTS had been made and repeated twice over an 18-month period. Disease-related anxiety scores were increased in the index patients and their partners. This psychologic distress decreased over time but remained elevated at 18 months. Andersen conducted qualitative interviews with seven individuals found to have LQTS pathogenic variants.^[50] They reported that affected patients had excess worry and limitations in daily life associated with the increased risk of sudden death, which was partially alleviated by acquiring knowledge about LQTS. The greatest concern was expressed for their family members, particularly children and grandchildren.

Genetic Testing for LQTS to Determine Prognosis

The evidence suggests that different subtypes of LQTS may have variable prognosis, thus indicating that genetic testing may assist in risk stratification. Several reports have compared rates of cardiovascular events in subtypes of LQTS.^[2,45,51,52] These studies report that rates of cardiovascular events differ among subtypes, but there is not a common pattern across all studies. Three of the four studies^[45,51,52] reported that patients with LQT2 have higher event rates than patients with LQT1, while Zareba and colleagues^[2] reported that patients with LQT1 have higher event rates than patients with LQT2. Overall, the evidence suggests that knowledge of the specific pathogenic variant present may provide some prognostic information but is not sufficient to conclude that this information improves outcomes for a patient with known LQTS.

More recent research has identified specific sequence variants that might be associated with higher risk of adverse outcomes. Albert examined genetic profiles from 516 cases of LQTS included in six prospective cohort studies.^[53] The authors identified 147 sequence variations found in five specific cardiac ion channel genes and tested the association of these variations with sudden cardiac death. Two common intronic variations, one in the *KCNQ1* gene and one in the *SCN5A* gene were most strongly associated with sudden death. Migdalovich correlated gender-specific risks for adverse cardiac events with the specific location of variants (pore-loop vs. non pore-loop) on the *KCNH2* gene in 490 males and 676 females with LQTS.^[54] They reported that males with pore-loop variants had a greater risk of adverse events (hazard ratio [HR]: 2.18, $p=0.01$) than males without pore-loop variants but that this association was not present in females. Costa combined information on variant location and function with age and gender to risk-stratify patients with LQTS 1 by life-threatening events.^[55] Ruwald evaluated differences in outcomes associated with nonsense variants (compared with missense variants) among 1090 patients with genetically-confirmed type 1 LQTS (*KCNQ1* variants).^[56] Cardiac events were comprised of the composite outcome of syncope, aborted cardiac arrest, SCD, or shock from an ICD. Non-missense stop codon variants were associated with the lowest risk of a cardiac event (40-year event rate of 27%), while non-c-loop, frameshift, splice, while all other non-missense variants had intermediate risk (40-year event rate of 44%, 46%, 43%, and 39%, respectively), and missense c-loop variants had the highest risk (40-year event rate of 70%).

Other research has reported that the presence of genetic variants at different locations can act as disease “promoters” in patients with LQTS pathogenic variants. Amin reported that three single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the untranslated region of the *KCNQ1* were associated with alterations in the severity of disease.^[57] Patients with these SNPs had less severe symptoms and a shorter QT interval compared to patients without the SNPs. Park examined a large LQTS kindred that had variable clinical expression of the disorder.^[58]

Patients were classified into phenotypes of mild and severe LQTS. Two SNPs were identified that were associated with severity of disease, and all patients classified as having a severe phenotype also had one of these two SNPs present. Earl et al identified 4 SNPs at two risk loci, *NOS1AP* and *KCNQ1*, which were associated with increased risk of death or resuscitated cardiac death in a cohort of 273 patients with LQTS.^[59] Kolder performed an analysis of 639 LQTS patients with *KCNH2* pathogenic variants and identified three SNPs at the *NOS1AP* locus as being significantly associated with prolongation of the QTc-interval.^[56] One of the three SNPs was also found to be associated the occurrence of cardiac events.

More recently, Mullally conducted a study to determine whether multiple variants one or multiple LQTS susceptibility genes would increase a patient's risk for life-threatening cardiac events in 403 patients from a LQTS registry.^[60] Patients with multiple variants (n=57) were found to have a higher rate of life threatening cardiac events during follow-up periods (23% vs. 11%; p=0.031). In addition, patients with multiple variants in a single LQTS gene were associated with a 3.2-fold increased risk for life threatening cardiac events (p=0.01). However authors noted that multiple variants found in more than one LQTS gene were not associated with a greater risk when compared to patients with a single variant.

Patient Management Based on Genetic Testing for LQTS

There is insufficient evidence to conclude that the information obtained from genetic testing on risk assessment leads to important changes in clinical management. Most patients will be treated with beta-blocker therapy and lifestyle modifications, and it has not been possible to identify a group with low enough risk to forego this conservative treatment. Conversely, for high-risk patients, there is no evidence suggesting that genetic testing influences the decision to insert an ICD and/or otherwise intensify treatment.

Some studies that reported outcomes of treatment with beta blockers also report outcomes by specific subtypes of LQTS.^[45,52] Priori reported pre-post rates of cardiovascular events by LQTS subtypes following initiation of beta-blocker therapy.^[45] There was a decrease in event rates in all LQTS subtypes, with a similar magnitude of decrease in each subtype. Moss also reported pre-post event rates for patients treated with beta-blocker therapy.^[44] This study indicated a significant reduction in event rates for patients with LQT1 and LQT2 but not for LQT3. This analysis was also limited by the small number of patients with LQT3 and cardiac events prior to beta-blocker treatment (4 of 28). Sauer evaluated differential response to beta-blocker therapy in a Cox proportional hazards analysis.^[61] These authors reported an overall risk reduction in first cardiac event of approximately 60% (HR: 0.41, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.27-0.64) in adults treated with beta blockers and an interaction effect by genotype. Efficacy of beta-blocker treatment was worse in those with LQT3 genotype (p=0.04) compared with LQT1 or LQT2. There was no difference in efficacy between genotypes LQT1 and LQT2.

There is also some evidence on differential response to beta blockers according to different specific type and/or location of variants. Barsheset examined 860 patients with documented variants in the *KCNQ1* gene and classified the variants according to type and location.^[62] Patients with missense variants in the cytoplasmic loop (c-loop variants) had a more marked risk reduction for cardiac arrest following treatment with beta blockers compared to patients with other variants (HR: 0.12, 95% CI: 0.02-0.73, p=0.02).

These data suggest that there may be differences in response to beta-blocker therapy, according to LQTS subtype and the type/location of the specific variant. However, the evidence is not consistent in this regard; for example, one of the three studies demonstrated a

similar response to beta-blockers for LQT3 compared to other subtypes. Although response to beta-blocker therapy may be different according to specific features of LQTS, it is unlikely that this evidence could be used in clinical decision making, since it is not clear how this information would influence management.

Catecholaminergic Polymorphic Ventricular Tachycardia

The clinical utility for genetic testing in CPVT follows a similar chain of logic as that for LQTS. In patients for whom the clinical diagnosis can be made with certainty, there is limited utility for genetic testing. However, without a validated diagnostic scoring system, such as the Schwartz criteria for LQTS, it is unclear how a non-genetic diagnosis of CPVT can be made with certainty. As in most cases of suspected CPVT, documentation of a pathologic variant that is known to be associated with CPVT confirms the diagnosis. Once a diagnosis is confirmed, treatment with beta blockers and lifestyle changes are typically recommended. Although high-quality outcome studies are lacking to demonstrate a benefit of medication treatment in patients with CPVT, it is likely that treatment reduces the risk of sudden cardiac death.

There is currently no evidence of genotype-based risk stratification for management or prognosis of CPVT. However, testing can have important implications for all family members for presymptomatic diagnosis, counseling or therapy. Asymptomatic patients with confirmed CPVT should also be treated with beta-blockers and lifestyle changes. In addition, CPVT has been associated with SIDS and some investigators have considered testing at birth for prompt therapy in infants who are at risk due to a family history of CPVT.

Brugada Syndrome (BrS)

The low clinical sensitivity of genetic testing for BrS limits its diagnostic capability. A finding of a genetic variant is not diagnostic of the disorder but is an indicator of high risk for development of BrS. The diagnostic criteria for BrS do not presently require genetic testing in order to establish a clinical diagnosis of the disorder. Furthermore, treatment is based on the presence of symptoms such as syncope or documented ventricular arrhythmias. Treatment is primarily with an implantable ICD, which is reserved for high-risk patients.

Risk stratification criteria are currently inadequate and the contribution of genetic sequencing is limited to identification of *SCN5A* pathogenic variants that occur in less than 25% of cases. Meregalli investigated whether type of *SCN5A* pathogenic variant was related to severity of disease and found that those pathogenic variants that caused more severe reductions in peak sodium current had the most severe phenotype.^[63] However, a meta-analysis of 30 BrS prospective studies found family history of SCD and presence of an *SCN5A* pathogenic variant insufficient to predict risk for cardiac events in BrS.^[64]

Short QT Syndrome (SQTS)

No studies were identified that provide evidence for the clinical utility of genetic testing for SQTS.

Section Summary

The clinical utility of genetic testing for LQTS or CPVT is high when there is a moderate to high pretest probability and when the diagnosis cannot be made with certainty by other methods. A definitive diagnosis of either channelopathy leads to treatment with beta-blockers in most

cases, and sometimes to treatment with an ICD. As a result, confirming the diagnosis is likely to lead to a health outcome benefit by reducing the risk for ventricular arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death. The clinical utility of testing is also high for close relatives of patients with known cardiac ion channel pathogenic variants, since these individuals should also be treated if they are found to have a pathogenic variant. For BrS the clinical utility is less certain, but there is potential for genetic testing to change treatment decisions in stratifying patients for need for ICD. For SQTs, clinical sensitivity is low as testing is not often able to provide a definitive diagnosis. Therefore, the clinical utility of testing is uncertain as it is unclear how results will change management or improve health outcomes.

PRACTICE GUIDELINE SUMMARY

HEART RHYTHM SOCIETY AND THE EUROPEAN HEART RHYTHM ASSOCIATION

The Heart Rhythm Society (HRS) and the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) jointly published an expert consensus statement on genetic testing for channelopathies and cardiomyopathies.^[17]

The following recommendations are specific to LQTS testing:

- Class I (is recommended) (level of evidence C*)
 - Comprehensive or LQT1-3 (KCNQ1, KCNH2, and SCN5A) targeted LQTS genetic testing is recommended for any patient in whom a cardiologist has established a strong clinical index of suspicion for LQTS based on examination of the patient's clinical history, family history, and expressed electrocardiographic (resting 12-lead ECGs and/or provocative stress testing with exercise or catecholamine infusion) phenotype.
 - Comprehensive or LQT1-3 (KCNQ1, KCNH2, and SCN5A) targeted LQTS genetic testing is recommended for any asymptomatic patient with QT prolongation in the absence of other clinical conditions that might prolong the QT interval (such as electrolyte abnormalities, hypertrophy, bundle branch block, etc., i.e., otherwise idiopathic) on serial 12-lead ECGs defined as QTc .480 ms (prepuberty) or .500 ms (adults).
 - Variant-specific genetic testing is recommended for family members and other appropriate relatives subsequently following the identification of the LQTS-causative variant in an index case.
- Class IIb (may be considered) (level of evidence C*)
 - Comprehensive or LQT1-3 (KCNQ1, KCNH2, and SCN5A) targeted LQTS genetic testing may be considered for any asymptomatic patient with otherwise idiopathic QTc values .460 ms (prepuberty) or .480 ms (adults) on serial 12-lead ECGs.

The following recommendations are specific to CPVT testing:

- Class I (is recommended) (level of evidence C*)
 - Comprehensive or CPVT1 and CVPT2 (RYR2 and CASQ2) targeted CPVT genetic testing is recommended for any patient in whom a cardiologist has established a clinical index of suspicion for CPVT based on examination of the patient's clinical history, family history, and expressed electrocardiographic phenotype during provocative stress testing with cycle, treadmill, or catecholamine infusion. Variant-

specific genetic testing is recommended for family members and appropriate relatives following the identification of the CPVT-causative variant in an index case.

The following recommendations are specific to BrS testing:

- Class I (is recommended) (level of evidence C*)
 - Variant-specific genetic testing is recommended for family members and appropriate relatives following the identification of the BrS-causative variant in an index case.
- Class IIa (can be useful) (level of evidence C*)
 - Comprehensive or BrS1 (SCN5A) targeted BrS genetic testing can be useful for any patient in whom a cardiologist has established a clinical index of suspicion for BrS based on examination of the patient's clinical history, family history, and expressed electrocardiographic (resting 12-lead ECGs and/or provocative drug challenge testing) phenotype.
- Class III (is not recommended) (level of evidence C*)
 - Genetic testing is not indicated in the setting of an isolated type 2 or type 3 Brugada ECG pattern.

The following recommendations are specific to SQTS testing:

- Class I (is recommended) (level of evidence C*)
 - Variant-specific genetic testing is recommended for family members and appropriate relatives following the identification of the SQTS-causative variant in an index case.
- Class IIb (may be considered) (level of evidence C*)
 - Comprehensive or SQT1-3 (KCNH2, KCNQ1, and KCNJ2) targeted SQTS genetic testing may be considered for any patient in whom a cardiologist has established a strong clinical index of suspicion for SQTS based on examination of the patient's clinical history, family history, and electrocardiographic phenotype.

*Level C evidence is based upon expert consensus opinion or case studies.^[65]

SUMMARY

In the majority of cases, a definitive diagnosis of a cardiac ion channelopathy leads to treatment with beta-blockers, and in some cases an implantable cardiac defibrillator (ICD). As a result, confirmation of a suspected cardiac ion channelopathy is likely to lead to a health outcome benefit by reducing the risk for ventricular arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death. Therefore, the clinical utility of genetic testing for cardiac ion channelopathies lies in the sensitivity of any given test to detect a pathogenic variant in patients suspected of having a disorder. For these gene tests, clinical validity varies by condition.

LONG QT SYNDROME (LQTS)

There is enough research to show that genetic testing for pathogenic variants associated with long QT syndrome (LQTS) in individuals with suspected LQTS, or in asymptomatic individuals with close relatives with a known pathogenic variant associated with LQTS

improves health outcomes, including longer overall survival, a decrease in morbid events, and changes in reproductive decision making. In addition there are research-based clinical guidelines that recommend genetic testing for certain individuals suspected to have LQTS. Therefore, genetic testing, single gene or genetic panel testing, for the diagnosis and management of LQTS may be considered medically necessary in patients who meet the policy criteria.

There is not enough research to show that genetic testing for long QT syndrome (LQTS) helps guide treatment decisions and improve health outcomes in patients who do not have known risk factors for LQTS. Nor is there enough research to show that gene testing improves health outcomes in patients who have a known clinical diagnosis of LQTS. Therefore, genetic testing for LQTS for general population screening or in patients with a known clinical diagnosis of LQTS is considered investigational.

CATECHOLAMINERGIC POLYMORPHIC VENTRICULAR TACHYCARDIA (CPVT)

There is enough research to show that genetic testing for pathogenic variants associated with catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (CPVT) in individuals with suspected CPVT, or in individuals who are asymptomatic with close relatives with a known CPVT-associated pathogenic variant improves health outcomes. Confirming the diagnosis is likely to lead to a health outcome benefit by reducing the risk for ventricular arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death. In addition, there are research-based clinical guidelines that recommend genetic testing for certain individuals suspected to have CPVT. Therefore, genetic testing, single gene or genetic panel testing, for the diagnosis and management of CPVT may be considered medically necessary in patients who meet the policy criteria.

There is not enough research to show that genetic testing for catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (CPVT) helps guide treatment decisions or improves health outcomes in patients who do not have known risk factors for CPVT or in those who have a known clinical diagnosis of CPVT. Therefore, genetic testing for CPVT for purposes of general population screening or in patients with a known clinical diagnosis of CPVT is considered investigational.

BRUGADA SYNDROME (BRS)

The research on how genetic testing for pathogenic variants associated with Brugada syndrome (BrS) may improve health outcomes has limitations. For BrS, changes in medical management, primarily cardiac defibrillator (ICD) implantation, are directed by clinical symptoms. However, based on early age of onset of BrS and the high risk of sudden cardiac death, genetic testing, single gene or genetic panel testing, for the diagnosis of BrS may be considered medically necessary in patients who meet the policy criteria.

There is not enough research to show that genetic testing for Brugada syndrome (BrS) is able to guide treatment decisions and improve health outcomes in patients who do not have known risk factors for LQTS, such as clinical signs or symptoms of BrS or a family history of BrS. Therefore, genetic testing for BrS for purposes of general population screening is considered investigational.

SHORT QT SYNDROME (SQTS)

The research on how genetic testing for pathogenic variants associated with short QT syndrome (SQTS) may improve health outcomes has limitations. In addition, for SQTS, changes in medical management, primarily cardiac defibrillator (ICD) implantation, are directed by clinical symptoms. However, based on early age of onset of SQTS and the high risk of sudden cardiac death, genetic testing, single gene or genetic panel testing, for the diagnosis of SQTS may be considered medically necessary in patients who meet the policy criteria.

There is not enough research to show that genetic testing for short QT syndrome (SQTS) is able to guide treatment decisions and improve health outcomes in patients who do not have known risk factors for LQTS, such as clinical signs or symptoms of SQTS or a family history of SQTS. Therefore, genetic testing for SQTS for purposes of general population screening is considered investigational.

OTHER INDICATIONS

There is not enough research to show that genetic testing for long QT syndrome (LQTS), catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (CPVT), Brugada or short QT syndrome (SQTS) is useful for determining prognosis or directing therapy. In addition, no clinical guidelines based on research recommend genetic testing to determine prognosis or direct therapy for people with LQTS, CPVT, Brugada or SQTS. Therefore, genetic testing to determine prognosis or direct therapy for people with LQTS, CPVT, Brugada syndrome or SQTS is considered investigational.

There is not enough research to show that genetic testing for variants associated with cardiac ion channelopathies other than long QT syndrome (LQTS), catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (CPVT), Brugada or short QT syndrome (SQTS) leads to improved health outcomes. In addition, no clinical guidelines based on research recommend genetic testing for variants associated with cardiac ion channelopathies other than LQTS, CPVT, Brugada or SQTS. Therefore, genetic testing for variants associated with cardiac ion channelopathies other than LQTS, CPVT, Brugada syndrome or SQTS is considered investigational.

REFERENCES

1. Bennett, MT, Sanatani, S, Chakrabarti, S, Deyell, MW, Krahn, AD. Assessment of genetic causes of cardiac arrest. *The Canadian journal of cardiology*. 2013 Jan;29(1):100-10. PMID: 23200097
2. Zareba, W, Moss, AJ, Schwartz, PJ, et al. Influence of genotype on the clinical course of the long-QT syndrome. International Long-QT Syndrome Registry Research Group. *N Engl J Med*. 1998 Oct 1;339(14):960-5. PMID: 9753711
3. Schwartz, PJ, Moss, AJ, Vincent, GM, Crampton, RS. Diagnostic criteria for the long QT syndrome. An update. *Circulation*. 1993 Aug;88(2):782-4. PMID: 8339437
4. Al-Khatib, SM, LaPointe, NM, Kramer, JM, Califf, RM. What clinicians should know about the QT interval. *JAMA*. 2003 Apr 23-30;289(16):2120-7. PMID: 12709470
5. Ackerman, MJ, Marcou, CA, Tester, DJ. Personalized medicine: genetic diagnosis for inherited cardiomyopathies/channelopathies. *Rev Esp Cardiol*. 2013 Apr;66(4):298-307. PMID: 23484907

6. GeneReviews™ [Internet]. Napolitano C, Priori SG, Bloise R. Catecholaminergic Polymorphic Ventricular Tachycardia. Initial Posting: October 14, 2004; Last Revision: October 13, 2016. [cited 1/15/2019]; Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK1289/>
7. Perrin, MJ, Gollob, MH. The genetics of cardiac disease associated with sudden cardiac death: a paper from the 2011 William Beaumont Hospital Symposium on molecular pathology. *J Mol Diagn.* 2012;14:424-36. PMID: 22749884
8. Sumitomo, N, Harada, K, Nagashima, M, et al. Catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia: electrocardiographic characteristics and optimal therapeutic strategies to prevent sudden death. *Heart.* 2003 Jan;89(1):66-70. PMID: 12482795
9. Huang, MH, Marcus, FI. Idiopathic Brugada-type electrocardiographic pattern in an octogenarian. *J Electrocardiol.* 2004;37:109-11. PMID: 15127377
10. Abriel, H, Zaklyazminskaya, EV. Cardiac channelopathies: genetic and molecular mechanisms. *Gene.* 2013 Mar 15;517(1):1-11. PMID: 23266818
11. GeneReview™ [Internet]. Brugada R, Capuzano O, Brugada P, Brugada J, Hong K. Brugada Syndrome. Initial Posting: March 31, 2005; Last Revision: November 17, 2016. [cited 1/15/2019]; Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK1517/>
12. Tester, DJ, Ackerman, MJ. Genetic testing for potentially lethal, highly treatable inherited cardiomyopathies/channelopathies in clinical practice. *Circulation.* 2011;123:1021-37. PMID: 21382904
13. Wilde, AA, Behr, ER. Genetic testing for inherited cardiac disease. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* 2013;10:571-83. PMID: 23900354
14. Antzelevitch, C, Brugada, P, Borggrefe, M, et al. Brugada syndrome: report of the second consensus conference. *Heart Rhythm.* 2005 Apr;2(4):429-40. PMID: 15898165
15. Benito, B, Brugada, J, Brugada, R, Brugada, P. Brugada syndrome. *Rev Esp Cardiol.* 2009;62:1297-315. PMID: 19889341
16. Wilders, R. Cardiac ion channelopathies and the sudden infant death syndrome. *ISRN cardiology.* 2012;2012:846171. PMID: 23304551
17. Ackerman, MJ, Priori, SG, Willems, S, et al. HRS/EHRA expert consensus statement on the state of genetic testing for the channelopathies and cardiomyopathies: this document was developed as a partnership between the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS) and the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA). *Europace : European pacing, arrhythmias, and cardiac electrophysiology : journal of the working groups on cardiac pacing, arrhythmias, and cardiac cellular electrophysiology of the European Society of Cardiology.* 2011 Aug;13(8):1077-109. PMID: 21810866
18. Giustetto, C, Di Monte, F, Wolpert, C, et al. Short QT syndrome: clinical findings and diagnostic-therapeutic implications. *Eur Heart J.* 2006;27:2440-7. PMID: 16926178
19. Gollob, MH, Redpath, CJ, Roberts, JD. The short QT syndrome: proposed diagnostic criteria. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2011;57:802-12. PMID: 21310316
20. Khan, IA. Long QT syndrome: diagnosis and management. *Am Heart J.* 2002 Jan;143(1):7-14. PMID: 11773906
21. Zhang, L, Timothy, KW, Vincent, GM, et al. Spectrum of ST-T-wave patterns and repolarization parameters in congenital long-QT syndrome: ECG findings identify genotypes. *Circulation.* 2000 Dec 5;102(23):2849-55. PMID: 11104743
22. Eddy, CA, MacCormick, JM, Chung, SK, et al. Identification of large gene deletions and duplications in KCNQ1 and KCNH2 in patients with long QT syndrome. *Heart Rhythm.* 2008 Sep;5(9):1275-81. PMID: 18774102
23. Chiang, CE. Congenital and acquired long QT syndrome. Current concepts and management. *Cardiol Rev.* 2004 Jul-Aug;12(4):222-34. PMID: 15191637

24. Napolitano, C, Priori, SG, Schwartz, PJ, et al. Genetic testing in the long QT syndrome: development and validation of an efficient approach to genotyping in clinical practice. *JAMA*. 2005 Dec 21;294(23):2975-80. PMID: 16414944
25. Priori, SG, Napolitano, C, Schwartz, PJ. Low penetrance in the long-QT syndrome: clinical impact. *Circulation*. 1999 Feb 2;99(4):529-33. PMID: 9927399
26. Ackerman, MJ, Marcou, CA, Tester, DJ. Personalized medicine: genetic diagnosis for inherited cardiomyopathies/channelopathies. *Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed)*. 2013 Apr;66(4):298-307. PMID: 24775620
27. den Dunnen, JT, Dalgleish, R, Maglott, DR, et al. HGVS Recommendations for the Description of Sequence Variants: 2016 Update. *Human mutation*. 2016 Jun;37(6):564-9. PMID: 26931183
28. TEC Assessment 2007. "Genetic Testing for Long QT Syndrome." BlueCross BlueShield Association Technology Evaluation Center, Vol. 22, Tab 9.
29. Leinonen, JT, Crotti, L, Djupsjobacka, A, et al. The genetics underlying idiopathic ventricular fibrillation: A special role for catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia? *International journal of cardiology*. 2018 Jan 1;250:139-45. PMID: 29032884
30. Steinberg, C, Padfield, GJ, Champagne, J, et al. Cardiac Abnormalities in First-Degree Relatives of Unexplained Cardiac Arrest Victims: A Report From the Cardiac Arrest Survivors With Preserved Ejection Fraction Registry. *Circulation Arrhythmia and electrophysiology*. 2016 Sep;9(9). PMID: 27635072
31. Hofman, N, Wilde, AA, Kaab, S, et al. Diagnostic criteria for congenital long QT syndrome in the era of molecular genetics: do we need a scoring system? *Eur Heart J*. 2007 Mar;28(5):575-80. PMID: 17090615
32. Tester, DJ, Will, ML, Haglund, CM, Ackerman, MJ. Effect of clinical phenotype on yield of long QT syndrome genetic testing. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2006 Feb 21;47(4):764-8. PMID: 16487842
33. Kapa, S, Tester, DJ, Salisbury, BA, et al. Genetic testing for long-QT syndrome: distinguishing pathogenic mutations from benign variants. *Circulation*. 2009 Nov 3;120(18):1752-60. PMID: 19841300
34. Refsgaard, L, Holst, AG, Sadjadieh, G, Haunso, S, Nielsen, JB, Olesen, MS. High prevalence of genetic variants previously associated with LQT syndrome in new exome data. *Eur J Hum Genet*. 2012 Aug;20(8):905-8. PMID: 22378279
35. Napolitano, C, Bloise, R, Memmi, M, Priori, SG. Clinical utility gene card for: Catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (CPVT). *Eur J Hum Genet*. 2014;22. PMID: 23549275
36. Jabbari, J, Jabbari, R, Nielsen, MW, et al. New exome data question the pathogenicity of genetic variants previously associated with catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet*. 2013;6:481-9. PMID: 24025405
37. Kapplinger, JD, Pundi, KN, Larson, NB, et al. Yield of the RYR2 Genetic Test in Suspected Catecholaminergic Polymorphic Ventricular Tachycardia and Implications for Test Interpretation. 2018 American Heart Association, Inc., United States, 2018. p. e001424.
38. Yamagata, K, Horie, M, Aiba, T, et al. Genotype-Phenotype Correlation of SCN5A Mutation for the Clinical and Electrocardiographic Characteristics of Proband With Brugada Syndrome: A Japanese Multicenter Registry. *Circulation*. 2017 Jun 6;135(23):2255-70. PMID: 28341781
39. Ackerman, MJ, Priori, SG, Willems, S, et al. HRS/EHRA expert consensus statement on the state of genetic testing for the channelopathies and cardiomyopathies this document

was developed as a partnership between the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS) and the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA). *Heart Rhythm*. 2011;8:1308-39. PMID: 21787999

40. Nielsen, MW, Holst, AG, Olesen, SP, Olesen, MS. The genetic component of Brugada syndrome. *Frontiers in physiology*. 2013;4:179. PMID: 23874304
41. Behr, ER, Savio-Galimberti, E, Barc, J, et al. Role of common and rare variants in SCN10A: results from the Brugada syndrome QRS locus gene discovery collaborative study. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2015;106:520-9. PMID: 25691538
42. Hu, D, Barajas-Martinez, H, Pfeiffer, R, et al. Mutations in SCN10A are responsible for a large fraction of cases of Brugada syndrome. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014 Jul 8;64(1):66-79. PMID: 24998131
43. Bezzina, CR, Barc, J, Mizusawa, Y, et al. Common variants at SCN5A-SCN10A and HEY2 are associated with Brugada syndrome, a rare disease with high risk of sudden cardiac death. *Nat Genet*. 2013;45:1044-9. PMID: 23872634
44. Moss, AJ, Zareba, W, Hall, WJ, et al. Effectiveness and limitations of beta-blocker therapy in congenital long-QT syndrome. *Circulation*. 2000 Feb 15;101(6):616-23. PMID: 10673253
45. Priori, SG, Napolitano, C, Schwartz, PJ, et al. Association of long QT syndrome loci and cardiac events among patients treated with beta-blockers. *JAMA*. 2004 Sep 15;292(11):1341-4. PMID: 15367556
46. Mazzanti, A, Maragna, R, Faragli, A, et al. Gene-Specific Therapy With Mexiletine Reduces Arrhythmic Events in Patients With Long QT Syndrome Type 3. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2016 Mar 08;67(9):1053-8. PMID: 26940925
47. Zareba, W, Moss, AJ, Daubert, JP, Hall, WJ, Robinson, JL, Andrews, M. Implantable cardioverter defibrillator in high-risk long QT syndrome patients. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 2003 Apr;14(4):337-41. PMID: 12741701
48. Hofman, N, Tan, HL, Alders, M, van Langen, IM, Wilde, AA. Active cascade screening in primary inherited arrhythmia syndromes: does it lead to prophylactic treatment? *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2010 Jun 8;55(23):2570-6. PMID: 20513597
49. Hendriks, KS, Hendriks, MM, Birnie, E, et al. Familial disease with a risk of sudden death: a longitudinal study of the psychological consequences of predictive testing for long QT syndrome. *Heart Rhythm*. 2008 May;5(5):719-24. PMID: 18452877
50. Andersen, J, Oyen, N, Bjorvatn, C, Gjengedal, E. Living with long QT syndrome: a qualitative study of coping with increased risk of sudden cardiac death. *J Genet Couns*. 2008 Oct;17(5):489-98. PMID: 18719982
51. Priori, SG, Schwartz, PJ, Napolitano, C, et al. Risk stratification in the long-QT syndrome. *N Engl J Med*. 2003 May 8;348(19):1866-74. PMID: 12736279
52. Schwartz, PJ, Priori, SG, Spazzolini, C, et al. Genotype-phenotype correlation in the long-QT syndrome: gene-specific triggers for life-threatening arrhythmias. *Circulation*. 2001 Jan 2;103(1):89-95. PMID: 11136691
53. Albert, CM, MacRae, CA, Chasman, DI, et al. Common variants in cardiac ion channel genes are associated with sudden cardiac death. *Circulation Arrhythmia and electrophysiology*. 2010 Jun;3(3):222-9. PMID: 20400777
54. Migdalovich, D, Moss, AJ, Lopes, CM, et al. Mutation and gender-specific risk in type 2 long QT syndrome: implications for risk stratification for life-threatening cardiac events in patients with long QT syndrome. *Heart Rhythm*. 2011 Oct;8(10):1537-43. PMID: 21440677

55. Costa, J, Lopes, CM, Barsheshet, A, et al. Combined assessment of sex- and mutation-specific information for risk stratification in type 1 long QT syndrome. *Heart Rhythm*. 2012 Jun;9(6):892-8. PMID: 22293141
56. Kolder, IC, Tanck, MW, Postema, PG, et al. Analysis for Genetic Modifiers of Disease Severity in Patients With Long-QT Syndrome Type 2. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet*. 2015;8:447-56. PMID: 25737393
57. Amin, AS, Giudicessi, JR, Tijssen, AJ, et al. Variants in the 3' untranslated region of the KCNQ1-encoded Kv7.1 potassium channel modify disease severity in patients with type 1 long QT syndrome in an allele-specific manner. *Eur Heart J*. 2012 Mar;33(6):714-23. PMID: 22199116
58. Park, JK, Martin, LJ, Zhang, X, Jegga, AG, Benson, DW. Genetic variants in SCN5A promoter are associated with arrhythmia phenotype severity in patients with heterozygous loss-of-function mutation. *Heart Rhythm*. 2012 Jul;9(7):1090-6. PMID: 22370247
59. Earle, N, Yeo Han, D, Pilbrow, A, et al. Single nucleotide polymorphisms in arrhythmia genes modify the risk of cardiac events and sudden death in long QT syndrome. *Heart Rhythm*. 2014 Jan;11(1):76-82. PMID: 24096169
60. Mullally, J, Goldenberg, I, Moss, AJ, et al. Risk of life-threatening cardiac events among patients with long QT syndrome and multiple mutations. *Heart Rhythm*. 2013 Mar;10(3):378-82. PMID: 23174487
61. Sauer, AJ, Moss, AJ, McNitt, S, et al. Long QT syndrome in adults. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2007 Jan 23;49(3):329-37. PMID: 17239714
62. Barsheshet, A, Goldenberg, I, J, OU, et al. Mutations in cytoplasmic loops of the KCNQ1 channel and the risk of life-threatening events: implications for mutation-specific response to beta-blocker therapy in type 1 long-QT syndrome. *Circulation*. 2012 Apr 24;125(16):1988-96. PMID: 22456477
63. Meregalli, PG, Tan, HL, Probst, V, et al. Type of SCN5A mutation determines clinical severity and degree of conduction slowing in loss-of-function sodium channelopathies. *Heart Rhythm*. 2009;6:341-8. PMID: 19251209
64. Modell, SM, Bradley, DJ, Lehmann, MH. Genetic testing for long QT syndrome and the category of cardiac ion channelopathies. *PLoS currents*. 2012:e4f9995f69e6c7. PMID: 22872816
65. Priori, SG, Wilde, AA, Horie, M, et al. HRS/EHRA/APHRS expert consensus statement on the diagnosis and management of patients with inherited primary arrhythmia syndromes: document endorsed by HRS, EHRA, and APHRS in May 2013 and by ACCF, AHA, PACES, and AEPC in June 2013. *Heart Rhythm*. 2013 Dec;10(12):1932-63. PMID: 24011539
66. BlueCross BlueShield Association Medical Policy Reference Manual "Genetic Testing for Congenital Long QT Syndrome." Policy No. 2.04.43

CODES

Codes	Number	Description
CPT	81413	Cardiac ion channelopathies (eg, Brugada syndrome, long QT syndrome, short QT syndrome, catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia); genomic sequence analysis panel, must include sequencing of at least 10 genes, including ANK2, CASQ2, CAV3, KCNE1, KCNE2, KCNH2, KCNJ2, KCNQ1, RYR2, and SCN5A

Codes	Number	Description
	81414	;duplication/deletion gene analysis panel, must include analysis of at least 2 genes, including KCNH2 and KCNQ1
HCPCS	S3861	Genetic testing, sodium channel, voltage-gated, type V, alpha subunit (SCN5A) and variants for suspected Brugada syndrome

Date of Origin: December 2012